

ADA 087325

LEVEL

PASSAIC RIVER BASIN
MOLLY ANN BROOK,
PASSAIC COUNTY
NEW JERSEY

OLDHAM POND DAM

NJ 00238

DTIC
ELF
S
JUL 31 1980

PHASE 1 INSPECTION REPORT NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM

THIS DOCUMENT IS BEST QUALITY PRACTICABLE
THE COPY FURNISHED TO DDC CONTAINED A
SIGNIFICANT NUMBER OF PAGES WHICH DO NOT
REPRODUCE LEGIBLY.



APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE;
DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED.

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

Philadelphia District
Corps of Engineers
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

ORIGINAL CONTAINS COLOR PLATES; ALL DDC
REPRODUCTIONS WILL BE IN BLACK AND WHITE.

JANUARY 1980

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE

DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED.

7 30 028

DDC FILE COPY

DISCLAIMER NOTICE

**THIS DOCUMENT IS BEST QUALITY
PRACTICABLE. THE COPY FURNISHED
TO DTIC CONTAINED A SIGNIFICANT
NUMBER OF PAGES WHICH DO NOT
REPRODUCE LEGIBLY.**

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER NJ00238	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. AD A087325	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) Phase I Inspection Report National Dam Safety Program. Oldham Pond Dam (NJ00238), Passaic River Passaic County, New Jersey Basin, Molly Ann	5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED 9 FINAL rept.	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
7. AUTHOR(s) WARREN A. GUINAN Brook Passaic County, New Jersey - Phase I Inspection Report.	8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) 15 DACW61-79-C-0011	
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Anderson-Nichols & Company, Inc. 6 London Road Concord, New Hampshire 03301	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS 12 153	
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS NJ Department of Environmental Protection Division of Water Resources P.O. Box CN029 Trenton, NJ 08625	12. REPORT DATE Jan 1980	
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office) U.S. Army Engineer District, Philadelphia Custom House, 2d & Chestnut Streets Philadelphia, PA 19106	13. NUMBER OF PAGES 122	
	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) Unclassified	
	15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE	
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Copies are obtainable from National Technical Information Service, Springfield, Virginia 22151.		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Dams Embankments Structural Analysis Safety Visual Inspection National Dam Safety Program Oldham Pond Dam		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) This report cites results of a technical investigation as to the dam's adequacy. The inspection and evaluation of the dam is as prescribed by the National Dam Inspection Act, Public Law 92-367. The technical investigation includes visual inspection, review of available design and construction records, and preliminary structural and hydraulic and hydrologic calculations, as applicable. An assessment of the dam's general condition is included in the report.		

1 2 3 4

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Data Entered)

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Data Entered)



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
PHILADELPHIA DISTRICT, CORPS OF ENGINEERS
CUSTOM HOUSE - 20 & CHESTNUT STREETS
PHILADELPHIA, PENNSYLVANIA 19106

IN REPLY REFER TO
NAPEN-N

Honorable Brendan T. Byrne
Governor of New Jersey
Trenton, New Jersey 08621

28 JUL 1980

Dear Governor Byrne:

Inclosed is the Phase I Inspection Report for Oldham Pond Dam in Passaic County, New Jersey which has been prepared under authorization of the Dam Inspection Act, Public Law 92-367. A brief assessment of the dam's condition is given in the front of the report.

Based on visual inspection, available records, calculations and past operational performance, Oldham Pond Dam, a high hazard potential structure, is judged to be in poor overall condition. The dam's spillway is considered inadequate since 13 percent of the Probable Maximum Flood (PMF) would overtop the dam. The decision to consider the spillway "inadequate" instead of "seriously inadequate" is based on the fact that dam failure from overtopping would not significantly increase the hazard to loss of life downstream of the dam from that which would exist just before overtopping failure. To ensure adequacy of the structure, the following actions, as a minimum, are recommended:

a. The owner should develop an emergency action plan and downstream warning system outlining actions to be taken by the operator to minimize downstream effects of an emergency at the dam.

b. The spillway's adequacy should be determined by a qualified professional consultant engaged by the owner using more sophisticated methods, procedures and studies within six months from the date of approval of this report. Within three months of the consultant's findings, remedial measures to ensure spillway adequacy should be initiated. In the interim, a detailed emergency operation plan and warning system should be promptly developed. Also, during periods of unusually heavy precipitation, around-the-clock surveillance should be provided.

c. Within six months from the date of approval of this report, engineering studies and analyses should be performed to:

(1) Design and oversee procedures for the removal of trees and brush from the downstream slope and for a distance of 25 feet from the downstream toe of the dam.

NAPEN-N

Honorable Brendan T. Byrne

(2) Design and oversee repairs for the eroded areas on the downstream slope adjacent to the spillway and at the footpath near the east end of the dam.

(3) Design and oversee repair to the upstream concrete and stone masonry wall for the entire length of the dam.

(4) Design and oversee repairs to the eroded stone masonry walls at the east spillway.

(5) Determine the configuration and condition of the masonry and earth sections of the dam, and its foundation for the purpose of evaluating the stability of the structure.

(6) Investigate the reasons for the uneven surface of the crest, including the area where a hole has been filled with concrete and design remedial measures as needed.

(7) Design and implement necessary remedial measures to prevent erosion of the toe of the dam by water flowing in the discharge channel downstream from the principal spillway.

(8) Investigate the minor seepage through the foundation under the center emergency spillway.

(9) Design and implement repairs for the eroded area on the east bank of the discharge channel immediately downstream of the principal spillway (immediately adjacent to the roadway at the east abutment).

d. Because Oldham Pond Dam is a high hazard dam in poor overall condition, maintenance of normal pool at a lower level should be considered until the remedial measures outlined above can be effected.

e. Within six months from the date of approval of this report the following actions should be initiated:

(1) Cut small trees growing in the masonry wall on the upstream side of the crest of the dam.

(2) Clear trees and brush from the discharge channel for some distance downstream of the dam.

(3) Start a program for maintaining the embankment free of weeds, brush, and trees.

(4) Repair the horizontal construction joints in the west emergency spillway face.

(5) Periodically operate the low-level outlet valve.

f. The owner should develop operating procedures and periodic maintenance plans to ensure the safety of the dam.

NAPEN-N

Honorable Brendan T. Byrne

A copy of the report is being furnished to Mr. Dirk C. Hofman, New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection, the designated State Office contact for this program. Within five days of the date of this letter, a copy will also be sent to Congressman Roe of the Eighth District. Under the provision of the Freedom of Information Act, the inspection report will be subject to release by this office, upon request, five days after the date of this letter.

Additional copies of this report may be obtained from the National Technical Information Services (NTIS), Springfield, Virginia 22161 at a reasonable cost. Please allow four to six weeks from the date of this letter for NTIS to have copies of the report available.

An important aspect of the Dam Inspection Program will be the implementation of the recommendations made as a result of the inspection. We accordingly request that we be advised of proposed actions taken by the State to implement our recommendations.

Sincerely,



JAMES G. TON
Colonel, Corps of Engineers
District Engineer

1 Incl
As stated

Copies furnished:

Mr. Dirk C. Hofman, P.E., Deputy Director
Division of Water Resources
N.J. Dept. of Environmental Protection
P.O. Box CN029
Trenton, NJ 08625

Mr. John O'Dowd, Acting Chief
Bureau of Flood Plain Regulation
Division of Water Resources
N.J. Dept. of Environmental Protection
P.O. Box CN029
Trenton, NJ 08625

Accession For	
NTIS GRA&I	<input checked="checked" type="checkbox"/>
DDC TAB	<input type="checkbox"/>
Unannounced	<input type="checkbox"/>
Justification	<input type="checkbox"/>
By _____	
Distribution/	
Availability Codes	
Dist	Avail and/or special
A	2

OLDHAM POND DAM (NJ00238)

CORPS OF ENGINEERS ASSESSMENT OF GENERAL CONDITIONS

This dam was inspected on 7 November 1979 by Anderson-Nichols & Co., Inc. under contract to the State of New Jersey. The State, under agreement with the U.S. Army Engineer District, Philadelphia, had this inspection performed in accordance with the National Dam Inspection Act, Public Law 92-367.

Oldham Pond Dam, a high hazard potential structure, is judged to be in poor overall condition. The dam's spillway is considered inadequate because a flow equivalent to 13 percent of the Probable Maximum Flood (PMF) would cause the dam to be overtopped. The decision to consider the spillway "inadequate" instead of "seriously inadequate" is based on the fact that dam failure from overtopping would not significantly increase the hazard to loss of life downstream of the dam from that which would exist just before overtopping failure. To ensure adequacy of the structure, the following actions, as a minimum, are recommended:

a. The owner should develop an emergency action plan and downstream warning system outlining actions to be taken by the operator to minimize downstream effects of an emergency at the dam.

b. The spillway's adequacy should be determined by a qualified professional consultant engaged by the owner using more sophisticated methods, procedures and studies within six months from the date of approval of this report. Within three months of the consultant's findings, remedial measures to ensure spillway adequacy should be initiated.

c. Within six months from the date of approval of this report, engineering studies and analyses should be performed to:

(1) Design and oversee procedures for the removal of trees and brush from the downstream slope and for a distance of 25 feet from the downstream toe of the dam.

(2) Design and oversee repairs for the eroded areas on the downstream slope adjacent to the spillway and at the footpath near the east end of the dam.

(3) Design and oversee repair to the upstream concrete and stone masonry wall for the entire length of the dam.

(4) Design and oversee repairs to the eroded stone masonry walls at the east spillway.

(5) Determine the configuration and condition of the masonry and earth sections of the dam and its foundation for the purpose of evaluating the stability of the structure.

(6) Investigate the reasons for the uneven surface of the crest, including the area where a hole has been filled with concrete and design remedial measures as needed.

(7) Design and implement necessary remedial measures to prevent erosion of the toe of the dam by water flowing in the discharge channel downstream from the principal spillway.

(8) Investigate the minor seepage through the foundation under the center emergency spillway.

(9) Design and implement repairs for the eroded area on the east bank of the discharge channel immediately downstream of the principal spillway (immediately adjacent to the roadway at the east abutment).

d. Because Oldham Pond Dam is a high hazard dam in poor overall condition, maintenance of normal pool at a lower level should be considered until the remedial measures outlined above can be effected.

e. Within six months from the date of approval of this report the following actions should be initiated.

(1) Cut small trees growing in the masonry wall on the upstream side of the crest of the dam.

(2) Clear trees and brush from the discharge channel for some distance downstream of the dam.


(3) Start a program for maintaining the embankment free of weeds, brush, and trees.

(4) Repair the horizontal construction joints in the west emergency spillway face.

(5) Periodically operate the low-level outlet valve.

f. The owner should develop operating procedures and periodic maintenance plans to ensure the safety of the dam.

APPROVED:


JAMES G. TON

Colonel, Corps of Engineers
District Engineer

DATE: 19 JUN 1980

PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT
NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM

Name of Dam:	Oldham Pond Dam
Identification No.:	Fed ID No. NJ00238
State Located:	New Jersey
County:	Passaic
Stream:	Molly Ann Brook
River Basin:	Passaic
Date of Inspection:	7 November 1979

ASSESSMENT OF GENERAL CONDITIONS

Oldham Pond Dam is approximately 130 years old and is in poor overall condition. It is small in size and is classified as high hazard. The downstream slope of the embankment is covered by thick vegetation. Eroded areas on the downstream slope adjacent to the spillways were observed. Erosion was noted downstream of the principal spillway. Oldham Pond Dam has three spillways and together they are inadequate in their carrying capacity. The spillways can pass less than 12 percent of the PMF.

It is recommended that the owner retain the services of a professional engineer, qualified in the design and inspection of dams, to accomplish the following in the near future: design and oversee procedures for the removal of trees and brush from the downstream slope and for a distance of 25 feet from the downstream toe of the dam; design and oversee repairs for the eroded areas on the downstream slope adjacent to the spillways and at the footpath near the east end of the dam; design and oversee repair to the upstream concrete and stone masonry wall for the entire length of the dam; design and oversee repairs to the eroded stone masonry walls at the east spillway; determine the configuration and condition of the masonry and earth sections of the dam, and the foundation conditions, for the purpose of evaluating the stability of the dam; investigate the reasons for the uneven surface of the crest, including the area where a hole has been filled with concrete and design remedial measures as needed; design and implement necessary remedial measures to prevent erosion of the toe of the dam by water flowing in the discharge channel downstream from the principal spillway; investigate the minor seepage through the foundation under the center emergency spillway; design and implement repairs to the eroded area on the east bank downstream of the principal spillway discharge channel; further evaluate the hydrology and hydraulics of the watershed, reservoir, dam and spillways using a more detailed analysis and design and implement appropriate mitigating measures. The owner should accomplish the following soon: cut small trees growing in the masonry wall on the upstream side of the crest of the dam and clear trees and brush from all discharge channels and on either side of each discharge channel for some distance downstream of the dam. In the near future the owner should: start a program for maintaining the embankment free of weeds, brush and

trees; establish a surveillance program for use during and immediately after periods of heavy rainfall, and also a warning program to follow in case of emergency conditions; repair the horizontal construction joints in the west emergency spillway face; periodically exercise the low-level outlet valve. Within one year from the date of approval of this report, the owner should develop written operating procedures and a periodic maintenance plan to insure the safety of the dam.

ANDERSON-NICHOLS & COMPANY, INC.

Warren A. Guinan

Warren A. Guinan, P.E.
Project Manager
New Jersey 16848



OVERVIEW
OLDHAM POND DAM

7 NOVEMBER 1979

CONTENTS

PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT NATIONAL DAM SAFETY REPORT

OLDHAM POND DAM FED ID NO. NJ00238 NJ NO. 400A

SECTION		<u>Page</u>
SECTION 1	PROJECT INFORMATION	
1.1	<u>General</u>	1
1.2	<u>Project Description</u>	1
1.3	<u>Pertinent Data</u>	3
SECTION 2	ENGINEERING DATA	
2.1	<u>Design</u>	7
2.2	<u>Construction</u>	7
2.3	<u>Operation</u>	7
2.4	<u>Evaluation</u>	7
SECTION 3	VISUAL INSPECTION	8
SECTION 4	OPERATIONAL PROCEDURES	
4.1	<u>Procedures</u>	9
4.2	<u>Maintenance of Dam</u>	9
4.3	<u>Maintenance of Operating Facilities</u>	9
4.4	<u>Warning System</u>	9
4.5	<u>Evaluation of Operational Adequacy</u>	9
SECTION 5	HYDRAULIC/HYDROLOGIC	10
SECTION 6	STRUCTURAL STABILITY	12
SECTION 7	ASSESSMENT, RECOMMENDATIONS/REMEDIAL MEASURES	
7.1	<u>Assessment</u>	14
7.2	<u>Recommendations/Remedial Measures</u>	14
FIGURES	1. Essential Project Features	
	2. Regional Vicinity Map	
APPENDICES	1. Check List Visual Inspection	
	2. Photographs	
	3. Hydrologic Computations	
	4. Engineering Data	
	5. References	

PREFACE

This report is prepared under guidance contained in the Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams, for Phase I Investigations. Copies of these guidelines may be obtained from the Office of Chief of Engineers, Washington, D.C. 20314. The purpose of a Phase I Investigation is to identify expeditiously those dams which may pose hazards to human life or property. The assessment of the general condition of the dam is based upon available data and visual inspections. Detailed investigation, and analyses involving topographic mapping, subsurface investigations, testing, and detailed computational evaluations are beyond the scope of a Phase I investigation; however, the investigation is intended to identify any need for such studies.

In reviewing this report, it should be realized that the reported condition of the dam is based on observations of field conditions at the time of inspection along with data available to the inspection team. It is important to note that the condition of a dam depends on numerous and constantly changing internal and external conditions, and is evolutionary in nature. It would be incorrect to assume that the present condition of the dam will continue to represent the condition of the dam at some point in the future. Only through continued care and inspection can there be any chance that unsafe conditions be detected.

Phase I inspections are not intended to provide detailed hydrologic and hydraulic analyses. In accordance with the established Guidelines, the Spillway Test Flood is based on the estimated "Probable Maximum Flood" for the region (greatest reasonably possible storm runoff), or fractions thereof. The test flood provides a measure of relative spillway capacity and serves as an aid in determining the need for more detailed hydrologic and hydraulic studies, considering the size of the dam, its general condition and the downstream damage potential.

PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT
NATIONAL DAM SAFETY INSPECTION PROGRAM
OLDHAM POND DAM
FED ID NO. NJ00238 NJ NO. 400A

SECTION 1
PROJECT INFORMATION

1.1 General

a. Authority. Authority to perform the Phase I Safety Inspection of Oldham Pond Dam was received from the State of New Jersey, Department of Environmental Protection, Division of Water Resources by a letter dated 26 October, 1979, under Contract FPM No. 39 dated 28 June 1978. This authority was given pursuant to the National Dam Inspection Act, Public Law 92-367 and by agreement between the State and the U.S. Army Engineers District, Philadelphia. The inspection discussed herein was performed by Anderson-Nichols & Co., Inc. on 7 November 1979.

b. Purpose. The purpose of the Phase I Investigation is to develop an assessment of the general conditions with respect to the safety of Oldham Pond Dam and appurtenances based upon available data and visual inspection, and, determine any need for emergency measures and conclude if additional studies, investigations and analyses are necessary and warranted.

1.2 Project Description

a. Description of Dam and Appurtenances. Oldham Pond Dam is a 18 foot high, 850 foot long earth embankment dam built between 1834 and 1875 and reconstructed in 1902 and 1945. The downstream face of the dam is earth with a 2H:1V slope. The upstream face of the dam is a wall of masonry and concrete and is vertical. Oldham Pond Dam has three spillways. The principal spillway is adjacent to Church Street on the east abutment. The center spillway is 400 feet west of the east abutment. The west spillway is 650 feet west of the east abutment. The center and west spillways function as emergency spillways. The principal spillway is a 39 foot long free overflow flat-crested spillway. It is concrete capped masonry and discharges over two steps into the downstream channel. The central emergency spillway is a 100 foot long, free overflow, broad crested spillway. The downstream face of this spillway is vertical. The west emergency spillway is a 56 foot long, free overflow, concrete ogee spillway. A training wall paralleling Church Street forms the east abutment of the dam. Adjacent to the west abutment is a small wooden structure housing an intake control mechanism for the 12 inch factory supply line. A low-level outlet control mechanism access is on the crest 350 feet from the left abutment. The low-level outlet is a box culvert 2.5 feet wide by 3.0 feet high. Essential features of the dam are given in Figure 1 and Figure 2.

b. Location. The dam is located in Passaic County, New Jersey on Molly Ann Brook, a tributary to the Passaic River in North Haledon, a suburb of Paterson, New Jersey. It is at north latitude $40^{\circ} 56.7'$ and west longitude $74^{\circ} 11.0'$. A location map is given in Figure 3.

c. Size Classification. Oldham Pond Dam is classified as being "small" in size in accordance with criteria given in the Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams on the basis of storage at the dam crest of 77 acre-feet, which is less than 1000 acre-feet, but more than 50 acre-feet, and on a height of 18 feet, which is less than 40 feet.

d. Hazard Classification. Visual inspection of the downstream area and the breach analysis contained herein shows that a breach of Oldham Pond Dam could cause excessive damage to 10 or more residences located downstream of the dam and the potential exists for loss of 30 or more lives. Accordingly, Oldham Pond Dam is classified as High Hazard.

e. Ownership. The dam is owned by Harmon Colors Corporation, P.O. Box 419, Hawthorne, New Jersey, 07507, Telephone (201)-942-3232. Mr Willaim Pratt of Harmon Colors Corporation is the maintenance manager and oversees the dam safety.

f. Purpose of Dam. Oldham Pond Dam was originally designed and is currently used for industrial water supply.

g. Design and Construction History. Little information regarding the original design and construction of the original dam was revealed. Two undocumented "original construction dates" were made known. These are 1834 and 1875. Also undocumented was a repair and reconstruction of the dam as a result of the 1902 flood. The first written history of the dam describes repairs and reconstruction of the structure and appurtenances after the 23 July 1945 flood. Historical evidence reveals that in 1963 extensive rebuilding of the west and east spillways was performed. Pressure grouting and intrusion grouting was accomplished on the center emergency spillway in 1968, 1970, and 1978. Also in 1978, the center emergency spillway "fabriform" concrete mattress applied to the spillway crest. The change in surface area resulting from the various modifications was not available.

h. Normal Operational Procedures. The current Safety Supervisor for Harmon Colors Corporation, Mr. Charles Macri, indicated that the low-level outlet has been operated at irregular intervals. The factory intake mechanism on the right abutment is operable. No formal written operating procedures were revealed.

i. Site Geology. No site specific geologic information (such as borings) was available at the time the dam was inspected. Information derived from a report entitled "Engineering Geology of the Northeast Corridor, Washington, DC to Boston, MA" and the Geologic Map of New Jersey (Kummel and Johnson, 1912) indicate that soils within the immediate site area consist of ground moraine overlying bedrock. Although outcrops were not observed during inspection of this dam, the previously mentioned report indicates that the underlying bedrock consists of basalt flows, and associated diabase dikes and sills, of Triassic age.

1.3 Pertinent Data

a. Drainage Area

5.3 square miles

b. Discharge at Damsite (cfs)

Maximum known flood at damsite - 2370, 23 July 1945

Low level outlet at pool elevation - 148

Total spillway capacity at maximum pool elevation - 3179

c. Elevation (ft. above NGVD)

Top of dam - 206.7

Design surcharge (PMF) - 210.5

Spillway crest (principal spillway) - 202.7

Spillway crest (center emergency spillway) - 203.7

Spillway crest (west emergency spillway) - 203.7

Downstream invert low-level outlet - 190.7

Streambed at centerline of dam - 190.7

Maximum tailwater - 192.4

d. Reservoir

Length of maximum pool (estimated) - 1400 feet

Length of normal pool - 1300 feet

e. Storage (acre-feet)

Normal pool - 77

Design surcharge (PMF) - 236

Spillway crest - 77

Top of dam - 153

f. Reservoir Surface (acres)

Top of dam - 20

Spillway crest - 17

g. Dam

Type - earth embankment with vertical masonry and concrete wall on upstream face

Length - 850+ feet

Height - 18 feet

Topwidth varies from 20 to 45 feet

Side slopes - upstream: vertical; downstream: varies from vertical to 3H:1V.

Zoning - unknown

Impervious core - unknown

Cutoff - unknown

Grout curtain - unknown

h. Spillways

Principal spillway

Type - free overflow flat crested concrete capped masonry

Length - 39 feet

Crest elevation - 202.7

Gates - none

Central emergency spillway

Type - free overflow broad crested "fabriform" concrete mattress capped masonry

Length - 100 feet

Crest elevation - 203.7 NGVD

Gates - none

West emergency spillway

Type-free overflow concrete ogee

Length - 56 feet

Crest elevation - 203.7 NGVD

Gates - none

Upstream channel - Oldham Pond

Downstream channel - Molly Ann Brook

i. Outlet Works

1 - 2.5 ft. x 3.0 ft. box culvert low-level outlet beneath dam on the crest at a point 350 feet west of the east abutment.

Valving mechanism not observed under locked access doors.

1 - 12-inch line of unknown material, used for industrial water supply intake line; valving mechanism in small wooden structure adjacent to west abutment.

SECTION 2 ENGINEERING DATA

2.1 Design

No original plans, hydraulic or hydrologic data for Oldham Pond Dam were available. Reconstruction plans by Justin & Courtney of Philadelphia for the rebuilding in 1963 of the principal spillway and west emergency spillway were obtained.

2.2 Construction

No data concerning the original construction of Oldham Pond Dam were revealed. Communication with Mr. William Pratt indicates that the dam was originally built between 1834 and 1875. It is unknown who constructed the original dam. Additional original construction information was not revealed.

2.3 Operation

No engineering operational data were divulged.

2.4 Evaluation

a. Availability. A search of the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection files and contact with representatives of the owner of the dam revealed only a limited amount of recorded information. All available information was retrieved.

b. Adequacy. Because of the limited amount of recorded information available, evaluation was based primarily on visual observations.

c. Validity. The recorded data retrieved were found to be in general agreement with visual observations.

SECTION 3 VISUAL INSPECTION

3.1 Findings

a. Dam. The downstream slope of the dam is covered with a very dense growth of trees and brush which makes it impossible to inspect the downstream slope adequately. At one location near the east abutment there is a footpath which is bare of vegetation from the crest to the toe of the slope. Considerable erosion of the downstream slope of the embankment has occurred next to the west end of the west emergency spillway and near the west and east ends of the center emergency spillway.

The crest of the dam is uneven and is mostly covered with grass and coarse weeds which make it difficult to inspect the crest adequately. At one point where a crack in the vertical masonry wall on the upstream edge of the crest was observed, a subsidence has occurred in the crest of the embankment next to the wall and that subsidence has been filled with concrete. Voids were noted in the embankment beneath this concrete. At one location on the crest small trees are growing out of the vertical masonry wall on the upstream side of the crest. The concrete faced and capped stone masonry wall on the upstream face of the dam has numerous areas of spalling and erosion. Substantial cracking and chipping of the mortared stone masonry wall was noted. Numerous vertical cracks in the upstream concrete wall exist.

b. Appurtenant Structures. Minor leakage is occurring through the foundation of the center emergency spillway. Two horizontal construction joints of the west emergency spillway face exhibit efflorescence and minor seepage. The surface of the west emergency spillway has some minor surface erosion and the stone masonry walls are undermined in several places along the concrete spillway apron.

c. Reservoir Area. The watershed immediately above the pond is gently to moderately sloping and heavily urbanized. Church Street runs parallel to the east edge of the reservoir. The reservoir slopes appear to be stable. No evidence of significant sediment was observed. Some trees are overhanging the pond at the approach to the intake structure at the east abutment.

d. Downstream Channel. The channels downstream of the center emergency spillway and the west emergency spillway are filled with trees and brush.

The channel downstream of the principal spillway at the east abutment makes a right-angle turn and runs along the toe of the embankment for about 80 feet before making another turn downstream. The channel bottom is in soil and no erosion protection exists on the side of the channel adjacent to the dam toe. Major erosion is occurring in the east bank of this channel immediately downstream of the training wall on the east side of the principal spillway.

SECTION 4 OPERATIONAL PROCEDURES

4.1 Procedures

No formal operating procedures were found. Normal operating procedures are described in Section 1.2 h.

4.2 Maintenance of Dam

No formal maintenance procedures for the low-level outlet and industrial supply line were revealed. . From the condition of the dam and appurtenant structures it is apparent that a regular maintenance program has not been followed.

4.3 Maintenance of Operating Facilities

No formal maintenance procedures for the operating facilities were made known.

4.4 Warning System

No description of any warning system was unveiled

4.5 Evaluation of Operational Adequacy

Because of the lack of operation and maintenance procedures, the remedial measures described in Section 7.2 should be implemented as prescribed.

SECTION 5
HYDROLOGY AND HYDRAULIC ANALYSIS

5.1 Evaluation of Features

a. Design Data. Because no original design data were available, an evaluation could not be performed. Reference data from NJDEP files revealed limited information.

b. Experience Data. Data retrieved from NJDEP files revealed that several inspections have been performed on Oldham Pond Dam. A report on a dam inspection was filed by George R. Shanklin, Assistant Chief Engineer, State of New Jersey, State Water Policy Commission, on 19 September 1945. In this report, Mr. Shanklin noted "that while the main dam was slightly overtopped at points by the 23 July (1945) flood, no appreciable damage was suffered by any of the three spillways or the high part of the embankment". Additional inspections were performed on the following dates: 1 October 1945, 26 February 1946, 13 December 1949, 14 March 1955, 21 October 1960, 26 July 1962, and 17 September 1963. These inspections did not reveal any additional information concerning past embankment overtopping.

c. Visual Observations. No visual evidence of damage due to overtopping was observed. At the time of inspection, approximately 0.2 foot of water was flowing over the principal spillway crest and no water was flowing over either emergency spillway.

d. Overtopping Potential. The hydraulic/hydrologic evaluation for Oldham Pond Dam is based on a selected Spillway Design Flood (SDF) equal to the Probable Maximum Flood (PMF) in accordance with the range of test floods given in the evaluation guidelines for dams classified as high hazard and small in size. The PMF has been determined by application of the SCS Dimensionless Unit Hydrograph procedure to the 6-hour probable maximum storm of 24.8 inches. Hydrologic computations are given in Appendix 3. The routed PMF outflow peak discharge under breach and non-breach conditions at the dam and at the downstream cross section is approximately 27500 cfs. The minimum elevation of the dam allows 4.0 feet of depth in the principal spillway and 3.0 feet of depth in the emergency spillways before overtopping occurs. The low-level outlet is assumed closed. Under this head, the total spillways' capacity is 3179 cfs, which is less than the required SDF.

Flood routing calculations indicate that Oldham Pond Dam will be overtopped for nearly four hours to a maximum depth of 2.4 feet over the dam crest under PMF conditions. It is estimated that the spillways can pass less than 12 percent of the PMF without overtopping.

After passing over Oldham Pond Dam, the discharge channel, Molly Ann Brook, parallels Church Street. Approximately 15 houses line the west side of Church Street downstream of Oldham Pond Dam. The outflow from the dam was routed through a cross-section representative of the downstream area. The depths occurring at this section determines the depth of flooding and inundation for the Church Street residences. From the analysis it was determined that under PMF conditions that area adjacent to Molly Ann Brook would experience inundation of 10 feet. The results of the breach analysis, contained in Appendix 3, indicate the breaching of the dam does not significantly increase the hazard downstream over the non-breach condition at the chosen cross section. The dam is classified as high hazard and the spillway can pass less than 50 percent of the PMF without causing overtopping, however, the hazard to loss of life is not significantly increased because of dam failure, thus the spillway is considered inadequate.

e. Drawdown Capability. If the low-level outlet currently in place is fully operable it is estimated that the pond can be drained in approximately 0.8 day, assuming no significant inflow. This time period is considered adequate for draining the reservoir in an emergency situation.

SECTION 6 STRUCTURAL STABILITY

6.1 Visual Observations

The presence of a dense growth of trees and brush on the downstream slope and grass and coarse weeds on the crest of the embankment makes it impossible to make an adequate visual inspection of the embankment.

The trees growing on the downstream slope of the embankment and in the area downstream of the toe may blow over and pull out their roots or they may die with the results that their roots rot. In either case, serious seepage and erosion problems could result.

Erosion at the west end of the west emergency spillway and at the west and east ends of the center emergency spillway, if not controlled, could lead to a breach of the embankment and could also contribute to stability problems in the spillway structures themselves.

A footpath, bare of vegetation, from the crest to the downstream toe of the embankment is susceptible to erosion and consequent damage to the embankment due to both runoff of rainfall and, if it should occur, overtopping.

The crest of the dam is uneven. Although the cause of the unevenness cannot be determined on the basis of the visual inspection alone, it may be a sign of a potential stability problem. The presence of a sinkhole (which has been filled with concrete and has subsequently increased in extent) is a sign of internal erosion of the embankment which, if not stopped, could lead to breaching of the dam. This sinkhole may be the result of loss of material through the masonry wall toward the reservoir, piping toward the downstream side of the embankment, or some combination of the two. Continued deterioration of the concrete and stone masonry wall upstream could lead to major seepage through the wall and erode the embankment fill.

Flow of water in the discharge channel from the principal spillway along the toe of the dam could erode the toe of the embankment and result in a failure of the embankment.

Erosion of the left bank of the discharge channel immediately downstream of the principal spillway may undermine Church Street on the east abutment and may also undermine the spillway structure.

Minor leakage through the foundation of the center emergency spillway could lead to a stability problem.

Based on the visual inspection alone, it is not possible to determine the character of the dam and spillway foundations, or the interior of the cross-section of the embankment, or the shape of the upstream side of the embankment and spillways. It is therefore not possible to evaluate the factor of safety of the dam and spillways against slope failure, sliding, or overturning.

6.2 Design and Construction Data

No design or construction data pertinent to the structural stability of the dam are available.

6.3 Operating Records

No operating records pertinent to the structural stability of the dam are available.

6.4 Post-Construction Changes

The dam was originally built between 1834 and 1875. Following severe flooding in 1902, the dam was reconstructed. It is unknown who did the reconstruction work. The county rebuilt the Church Street training wall in 1945. Complete reconstruction of the west emergency spillway was performed in 1963. The consulting engineers for the work was Justin and Courtney of Philadelphia. In 1978 the center emergency spillway had its training walls rebuilt and the crest capped. This work was done by Raymond International. Additional "post-construction" information is discussed in Section 1.2, g. (Design and Construction History). No further post-construction changes relative to Oldham Pond Dam's structural stability were revealed.

6.5 Seismic Stability

This dam is in Seismic Zone 1. According to the Recommended Guidelines, dams located in Seismic Zone 1 "may be assumed to present no hazard from earthquake provided static stability conditions are satisfactory and conventional safety margins exist." None of the visual observations made during the inspection are indicative of unstable slopes. However, because no data are available concerning the engineering properties of the embankment and foundation materials for this dam or of the below-ground configuration of the masonry spillways, it is not possible to make an engineering evaluation of the stability of the slopes or the factor of safety under static conditions.

SECTION 7
ASSESSMENT, RECOMMENDATIONS/REMEDIAL MEASURES

7.1 Dam Assessment

a. Condition. Oldham Pond Dam is about 130 years old and is in poor overall condition.

b. Adequacy of Information. The information available is such that the assessment of this dam must be based primarily on the results of the visual inspection.

c. Urgency. The recommendations made in Sections 7.2 a. and 7.2 c. should be implemented by the owner as prescribed below.

d. Necessity for Additional Data/Evaluation. The information available from the visual inspection is adequate to identify the potential problems which are listed in 7.2 a. below. These problems require the attention of a professional engineer qualified in the design and construction of dams who will have to make additional engineering studies to design or specify remedial measures to rectify the problems. If left unattended, the problems could lead to instability of the structure.

7.2 Recommendations/Remedial Measures

a. Recommendations. The owner should retain a professional engineer qualified in the design and construction of dams to accomplish the following in the near future:

(1) Design and oversee procedures for the removal of trees and brush from the downstream slope and for a distance of 25 feet from the downstream toe of the dam.

(2) Design and oversee repairs for the eroded areas on the downstream slope adjacent to the spillways and at the footpath near the east end of the dam.

(3) Design and oversee repair to the upstream concrete and stone masonry wall for the entire length of the dam.

(4) Design and oversee repairs to the eroded stone masonry walls at the east spillway.

(5) Determine the configuration and condition of the masonry and earth sections of the dam, and the foundation conditions, for the purpose of evaluating the stability of the dam.

(6) Investigate the reasons for the uneven surface of the crest, including the area where a hole has been filled with concrete and design remedial measures as needed.

(7) Design and implement necessary remedial measures to prevent erosion of the toe of the dam by water flowing in the discharge channel downstream from the principal spillway.

(8) Investigate the minor seepage through the foundation under the center emergency spillway.

(9) Design and implement repairs for the eroded area on east bank of the discharge channel immediately downstream of the principal spillway (immediately adjacent to the roadway at the east abutment).

(10) Further evaluate the hydrology and hydraulics of the watershed, reservoir, dam and spillway using a more detailed analysis and design and implement appropriate mitigating measures.

b. Alternatives. Because Oldham Pond Dam is a high hazard dam in poor overall condition, maintenance of normal pool at a lower level should be considered until the remedial measures outlined above can be effected.

c. Operating and Maintenance Procedures. The owner should accomplish the following soon:

(1) Cut small trees growing in the masonry wall on the upstream side of the crest of the dam.

(2) Clear trees and brush from the discharge channels and on either side of each discharge channel for some distance downstream of the dam.

The owner should accomplish the following in the near future:


(1) Start a program for maintaining the embankment free of weeds, brush, and trees.

(2) Establish a surveillance program for use during and immediately after periods of heavy rainfall, and also a warning program to follow in case of emergency conditions.


(3) Repair the horizontal construction joints in the west emergency spillway face.

(4) Periodically operate the low-level outlet valve.


Within one year from the date of approval of this report, the owner should develop written operating procedures and a periodic maintenance plan to insure the safety of the dam.



SECTION A-A
(EMERGENCY SPILLWAY WEST)




SECTION B-B
(EMERGENCY SPILLWAY CENTER)




SECTION C-C
(PRINCIPAL SPILLWAY)


1
2




A-A
(WING WALL WEST)



SECTION B-B
(SPILLWAY CENTER)




SECTION C-C
(PRINCIPAL SPILLWAY)



PLAN


DETAIL FROM FIELD INSPECTION 11/6/79		U.S. ARMY	
Anderson-Nichols & Co., Inc.			
CONCORD	NEW HAMPSHIRE		
NATIONAL PROGRAM OF INSPECTION			
OLDHAM POND			
MOLLY ANN BROOK			
			SCALE:
			DATE:



DETAIL FROM FIELD INSPECTION 11/6/79

Anderson-Nichols & Co., Inc.		U.S. ARMY ENGINEER DIST. PHILADELPHIA	
CONCORD		CORPS OF ENGINEERS	
NEW HAMPSHIRE		PHILADELPHIA, PA	
NATIONAL PROGRAM OF INSPECTION OF NON-FED. DAMS			
OLDHAM POND DAM			
MOLLY ANN BROOK		NEW JERSEY	
		SCALE: NOT TO SCALE	
		DATE: JANUARY 1980	

FIGURE 1




ELEVATION D-D

DETAIL FROM FIELD INSPECTION 11/6/79

Anderson-Nichols & Co., Inc.		U.S. ARMY ENGINEER DIST. PHILADELPHIA	
CONCORD		CORPS OF ENGINEERS	
NEW HAMPSHIRE		PHILADELPHIA, PA.	
NATIONAL PROGRAM OF INSPECTION OF NON-FED. DAMS			
OLDHAM POND DAM			
MOLLY ANN BROOK		NEW JERSEY	
		SCALE: NOT TO SCALE	
		DATE: JANUARY 1980	

FIGURE 2



MAP BASED ON STATE OF NEW JERSEY
OFFICIAL HIGHWAY MAP AND GUIDE.

Anderson-Nichols & Co., Inc.		U.S. ARMY ENGINEER DIST. PHILADELPHIA	
CONCORD		CORPS OF ENGINEERS	
NEW HAMPSHIRE		PHILADELPHIA, PA.	
NATIONAL PROGRAM OF INSPECTION OF NON-FED. DAMS			
OLDHAM POND DAM LOCATION MAP			
MOLLY ANN BROOK		NEW JERSEY	
		SCALE: SEE BAR SCALE	
		DATE: JANUARY 1980	

FIGURE 3

APPENDIX I
VISUAL INSPECTION
CHECKLIST

OLDHAM POND DAM

Check List
Visual Inspection
Phase 1

Name Dam Oldham Pond Dam County PASSIC State NJ Coordinators NJDEP
Date(s) Inspection Nov. 7, 1979 Weather cool, cloudy Temperature 55 degrees F
Pool Elevation at Time of Inspection 202 NGVD Tailwater at Time of Inspection 190.7 NGVD

Inspection Personnel:

Warren Guinam

Stephen Gilman

Kenneth Stuart

Ronald Hirschfeld

R. Hirschfeld, S. Gilman Recorder

UNGATED SPILLWAY

VISUAL EXAMINATION OF

OBSERVATIONS

REMARKS OR RECOMMENDATIONS

CONCRETE WEIR

Good condition-minor surface erosion of laitances. Some spalling and erosion of abutments where in contact with the water. Downstream easterly training wall undermined at contact with concrete apron.

Repair undermining at all walls. Monitor erosion of spillway surface.

APPROACH CHANNEL

East side is concrete training wall. West side is unobstructed.

DISCHARGE CHANNEL

Discharge from principal spillway via concrete inclined spillway chute and then into open channel. Training wall undermined and stone masonry wall d/s has stone missing.

Repair undermining at wall and stone masonry wall.

BRIDGE AND PIERS OVER SPILLWAY

None

CONCRETE/MASONRY DAMS

VISUAL EXAMINATION OF	OBSERVATIONS	REMARKS OR RECOMMENDATIONS
SEEPAGE OR LEAKAGE	<p>West Emergency Spillway-Minor leakage along joint of concrete ogee.</p> <p>Center Emergency Spillway-Minor leakage at masonry joints and underneath masonry downstream wall.</p>	<p>Repair the horizontal construction joints in west emergency spillway face.</p> <p>Investigate minor leakage through foundation.</p>
STRUCTURE TO ABUTMENT/EMBANKMENT JUNCTIONS	<p>See Sheet 2 of " Embankment Dams"</p>	
DRAINS	<p>Center Emergency Spillway-None observed</p> <p>West Emergency Spillway-None observed</p>	
WATER PASSAGES	<p>Center Emergency Spillway-None Observed</p> <p>West Emergency Spillway-None Observed</p>	
FOUNDATION	<p>Center Emergency Spillway-Foundation not visible</p> <p>West Emergency Spillway-Foundation not visible</p>	

CONCRETE/MASONRY DAMS

VISUAL EXAMINATION OF	OBSERVATIONS	REMARKS OR RECOMMENDATIONS
SURFACE CRACKS CONCRETE SURFACES	West emergency spillway - good condition. Horizontal construction joint has efflorescence and evidence of seepage. Center emergency spillway - good. No indication of deterioration of crest or abutment.	Repair and seal construction joint.
STRUCTURAL CRACKING	West emergency spillway - none observed. Center emergency spillway - none observed.	
VERTICAL AND HORIZONTAL ALIGNMENT	West emergency spillway - good, no indication of movement. Center emergency spillway - good, no indication of movement.	
MONOLITH JOINTS	Not applicable.	
CONSTRUCTION JOINTS	West emergency spillway - hairline separation of joint between new concrete and old masonry structure Center emergency spillway - hairline separation of joints between concrete and old masonry structure	No action required. No action required.

EMBANKMENT
WITH UPSTREAM CONCRETE-CAPPED AND FACED STONE-MASONRY WALL

VISUAL EXAMINATION OF	OBSERVATIONS	REMARKS OR RECOMMENDATIONS
SURFACE CRACKS	None observed. Sinkhole filled with concrete at break in alignment of upstream wall. Wall cracked at location of sinkhole	Repair wall and sinkhole
UNUSUAL MOVEMENT OR CRACKING AT OR BEYOND THE TOE	None observed	
SLOUGHING OR EROSION OF EMBANKMENT AND ABUTMENT SLOPES	Brush cover on downstream slope makes it impossible to inspect it adequately	Remove brush and conduct inspection of slope
VERTICAL AND HORIZONTAL ALIGNMENT OF THE CREST	Surface of crest is quite uneven. Horizontal alignment is good.	
RIPRAP FAILURES	No riprap	Provide upstream slope protection

EMBANKMENT

VISUAL EXAMINATION OF	OBSERVATIONS	REMARKS OR RECOMMENDATIONS
RAILINGS	No Railings	
JUNCTION OF EMBANKMENT AND ABUTMENT, SPILLWAY AND DAM	Erosion at left side of west emergency spillway. Erosion of left and right sides of center emergency spillway.	
ANY NOTICEABLE SEEPAGE	None observed	
STAFF GAGE AND RECORDER	None observed	
DRAINS	None observed	
UPSTREAM WALLS	Concrete capped and faced stone masonry wall shows numerous areas of spalling and erosion on top of wall and upstream face. Substantial cracking and chipping of mortar between stone masonry. Numerous vertical cracks in wall. No in- dication of movement except at break in wall upstream at east abutment to center emergency spillway. Construction joint separated by approximately $\frac{1}{4}$ inch	Engage an Engineer to design and in- spect repairs to concrete wall . Construct repairs to concrete up- stream wall.

UNGATED SPILLWAY
CENTER EMERGENCY SPILLWAY

VISUAL EXAMINATION OF	OBSERVATIONS	REMARKS OR RECOMMENDATIONS
CONCRETE WEIR	Good condition-"fabriform" mattress in good condition. Training walls recently (1975) rebuilt and in good condition	No action required
APPROACH CHANNEL	Wide and unobstructed	No action required
DISCHARGE CHANNEL	Open channel	No action required
BRIDGE AND PIERS OVER SPILLWAY	None	No action required

REMARKS OR RECOMMENDATIONS

OBSERVATIONS

VISUAL EXAMINATION OF

CONCRETE WEIR

Ogee spillway and associated training walls in good condition.

No action required

APPROACH CHANNEL

Wide and unobstructed

No action required

DISCHARGE CHANNEL

Open Channel

No action required

BRIDGE AND PIERS OVER SPILLWAY

None

No action required

OUTLET WORKS

VISUAL EXAMINATION OF	OBSERVATIONS	REMARKS OR RECOMMENDATIONS
CRACKING AND SPALLING OF CONCRETE SURFACES IN OUTLET CONDUIT	Visible portion of outlet has surface erosion and some spalling	Make detailed inspection of outlet conduit and perform necessary repairs at deteriorated concrete
INTAKE STRUCTURE	Not visible	
OUTLET PIPE	Opening covered with brush and partially sedimented	Remove brush and debris from the outlet pipe opening
OUTLET CHANNEL	Trees and brush growing in outlet channel and overhanging the outlet channel	Remove brush and trees from the outlet channel for 25 ft. on either side of the channel for a distance downstream of dam sufficient to prevent reduction of spillway capacity by fallen trees.
EMERGENCY GATE	Access door visible, valving mechanism not inspected	

INSTRUMENTATION

VISUAL EXAMINATION	OBSERVATIONS	REMARKS OR RECOMMENDATIONS
MONUMENTATION/SURVEYS	None apparent	
OBSERVATION WELLS	None apparent	
WEIRS	None apparent	
PIEZOMETERS	None apparent	
OTHER	None apparent	

RESERVOIR

VISUAL EXAMINATION OF	OBSERVATIONS	REMARKS OR RECOMMENDATIONS
SLOPES	Gently to moderately sloping and heavily urbanized	
SEDIMENTATION	No evidence of significant sediment was observed	

DOWNSTREAM CHANNEL

VISUAL EXAMINATION OF	OBSERVATIONS	REMARKS OR RECOMMENDATIONS
CONDITION (OBST. ACTIONS, DEBRIS, ETC.)	Trees and brush growing in discharge channel and considerable debris	Clear trees and brush on either side of the discharge channel and for a distance downstream of the dam
SLOPES	Deep stream channel with wide flat overbanks	
APPROXIMATE NO. OF HOMES AND POPULATION	15 houses with an estimated population of 45 people	

CHECK LIST
ENGINEERING DATA
DESIGN, CONSTRUCTION, OPERATION

ITEM	REMARKS
PLAN OF DAM	Plan of dam shown in Figure 1 from visual inspection on 6 November 1979 and Plans by Justin & Courtney May 1961 and Riparian and Stream Survey, WPA New Jersey undated.
REGIONAL VICINITY MAP	Prepared for this report
CONSTRUCTION HISTORY	Original dam construction date between 1834 and 1875. Further discussion in text Section 1.2g and Section 6.4
TYPICAL SECTIONS OF DAM	See "Plan of Dam" above
HYDROLOGIC/HYDRAULIC DATA	No original data were disclosed
OUTLETS - PLAN	None disclosed
- DETAILS	None disclosed
- CONSTRAINTS	None disclosed
- DISCHARGE RATINGS	None disclosed
RAINFALL/RESERVOIR RECORDS	None disclosed

ITEM REMARKS

DESIGN REPORTS

A design report for the rebuilding of West Emergency Spillway was disclosed. The consulting engineer was Justin & Courtney of Philadelphia with construction from August 21 to November 20, 1963.

GEOLOGY REPORTS

None disclosed

DESIGN COMPUTATIONS HYDROLOGY & HYDRAULICS DAM STABILITY SEEPAGE STUDIES

None disclosed

MATERIALS INVESTIGATIONS BORING RECORDS LABORATORY FIELD

None disclosed

POST-CONSTRUCTION SURVEYS OF DAM

Justin & Courtney of Philadelphia May 1961
WPA Riparian & Stream Survey undated

BORROW SOURCES

Unknown

ITEM	REMARKS
MONITORING SERVICES	Unknown
MODIFICATIONS	Several modifications to original dam as discussed in Section 1.2a, Section 1.2g, Section 6.4
HIGH POOL RECORDS	Flood run-off estimates for 23 July 1945 accompany "Report on Dam Application" dated 18 September 1945
POST CONSTRUCTION ENGINEERING STUDIES AND REPORTS	Several inspections were performed on Oldham Pond Dam as documented in Section 5.1b and Appendix 4
PRIOR ACCIDENTS OR FAILURE OF DAM DESCRIPTION REPORTS	Slight overtopping was noted during 23 July 1945 flood as discussed in Section 5.1,b and spillway wash outs as a result of high water are referenced in Appendix 4
MAINTENANCE OPERATION RECORDS	None revealed

ITEM	REMARKS
SPILLWAY PLAN	No original plans were revealed.
SECTIONS	Typical section of spillway was developed for this report from visual inspection on 6 Nov. 1979 and Plans by Justin & Courtney May 1931, and Riparian and Stream Survey, WPA New Jersey undated.
DETAILS	
OPERATING EQUIPMENT	The 12" factory intake line has an operating valve. The low level outlet mechanism valve has been operated at irregular intervals.
PLANS & DETAILS	Typical section of spillways were developed for this report from visual inspection on 6 Nov. 1979 and Plans by Justin & Courtney May 1931, and Riparian and Stream Survey, WPA New Jersey undated.

CHECK LIST
HYDROLOGIC AND HYDRAULIC DATA
ENGINEERING DATA

DRAINAGE AREA CHARACTERISTICS: 5.3 square miles, gently to steeply sloping
and heavily urbanized
ELEVATION TOP NORMAL POOL (STORAGE CAPACITY): 202.7 NGVD (77 acre-feet)
ELEVATION TOP FLOOD CONTROL POOL (STORAGE CAPACITY): 206.7 NGVD (153 acre-ft)
ELEVATION MAXIMUM DESIGN POOL: 210.53 NGVD (PMF)
ELEVATION TOP DAM: 206.7 (NGVD)

PRINCIPAL SPILLWAY CREST: Free overflow flat crested concrete capped

- a. Elevation: 202.7 (NGVD)
- b. Type Concrete weir
- c. Length 39 feet
- d. Width 3 feet
- e. Location Spillover Adjacent to east abutment
- f. Number and Type of Gates none

CENTER EMERGENCY SPILLWAY CREST: Free overflow broad crested "fabriform"
concrete mattress capped

- a. Elevation 203.7 (NGVD)
- b. Type Concrete "fabriform" weir
- c. Length 100 feet
- d. Width 40 feet
- e. Location Spillover: Centered between east and west abutment
- f. Number and Type of Gates: None

EMERGENCY SPILLWAY WEST CREST: Free overflow concrete ogee

- a. Elevation 203.7 (NGVD)
- b. Type Concrete weir
- c. Length 56 feet
- d. Width 1.5 feet
- e. Location Spillover 650 feet west of east abutment
- f. Number and Type of Gates None

CHECK LIST
HYDROLOGIC AND HYDRAULIC DATA
ENGINEERING DATA
(CONTINUED)

OUTLET WORKS: Low level outlet

- a. Type 2.5 feet by 3.0 feet box culvert
- b. Location 350 feet west of east abutment
- c. Entrance Invert's Unknown
- d. Exit Inverts 190.7 NGVD
- e. Emergency Draindown Facilities Described above

HYDROMETEOROLOGICAL GAGES: None disclosed

- a. Type _____
- b. Location _____
- c. Records _____

MAXIMUM NON-DAMAGING DISCHARGE: 3179 cfs

APPENDIX 2
PHOTOGRAPHS

OLDHAM POND DAM



7 NOVEMBER 1979
VIEW LOOKING EAST FROM EAST TRAINING WALL OF WEST
EMERGENCY SPILLWAY TOWARD EAST DAM ABUTMENT.



7 NOVEMBER 1979
VIEW LOOKING WEST FROM EAST TRAINING WALL OF WEST
EMERGENCY SPILLWAY TOWARD WEST DAM ABUTMENT. NOTE
SMALL WOODEN STRUCTURE ADJACENT TO WEST ABUTMENT
WHICH HOUSES THE CONTROL MECHANISM FOR THE FACTORY
INTAKE LINE.

OLDHAM POND DAM



7 NOVEMBER 1979
VIEW FROM WEST TRAINING WALL OF WEST EMERGENCY
SPILLWAY SHOWING SPILLWAY AND HEAVY VEGETATION ON
DAM EMBANKMENT. VIEW IS LOOKING EAST.




7 NOVEMBER 1979
VIEW FROM WEST TRAINING WALL OF CENTER EMERGENCY
SPILLWAY SHOWING "FABRIFORM" CONCRETE MATTRESS
SPILLWAY CREST AND RECENTLY REBUILT TRAINING WALL.
VIEW IS LOOKING EAST.



7 NOVEMBER 1979
VIEW FROM WEST TRAINING WALL OF PRINCIPAL SPILLWAY
LOOKING AT EAST ABUTMENT TRAINING WALL. CHURCH STREET,
SEEN IN THE BACKGROUND, RUNS ALONG THE EAST SIDE OF
OLDHAM POND.



7 NOVEMBER 1979
VIEW FROM WEST TRAINING WALL OF PRINCIPAL SPILLWAY
LOOKING AT DISCHARGE CHANNEL AND EROSION AREA DOWNSTREAM.
CHURCH STREET IS SEEN IN BACKGROUND.



7 NOVEMBER 1979
VIEW OF UPSTREAM FACE OF DAM.



7 NOVEMBER 1979
VIEW FROM WEST ABUTMENT SHOWING UPSTREAM FACE
OF DAM AND HEAVY VEGETATION ON THE EMBANKMENT.

OLDHAM POND DAM




7 NOVEMBER 1979
DOWNSTREAM FACE OF EMBANKMENT.




7 NOVEMBER 1979
ACCESS DOOR TO LOW-LEVEL OUTLET VALVING MECHANISM.


OLDHAM POND DAM



7 NOVEMBER 1979
DOWNSTREAM AREA OF LOW-LEVEL OUTLET.



7 NOVEMBER 1979
VIEW FROM DAM CREST SHOWING ERODED PATHWAY ON
WESTERLY SIDE OF THE PRINCIPAL SPILLWAY.



7 NOVEMBER 1979
VIEW OF WEST TRAINING WALL OF PRINCIPAL SPILLWAY
IN AREA WHERE SINKHOLE IN THE EMBANKMENT HAS BEEN
FILLED WITH CONCRETE.

APPENDIX 3
HYDROLOGIC COMPUTATIONS

OLDHAM POND DAM



NATIONAL PROGRAM OF INSPECTION OF
NON-FED. DAMS

OLDHAM POND DAM

NORTH HALEDON, NEW JERSEY

REGIONAL VICINITY MAP


JANUARY 1980

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
PHILADELPHIA DISTRICT, CORPS OF ENGINEERS
PHILADELPHIA, PENNSYLVANIA

ANDERSON-NICHOLS & CO., INC.

CONCORD, N.H.

SCALE IN MILES



MAP BASED ON U.S.G.S. 7.5 MINUTE QUADRANGLE
SHEET. PATERSON, N.J. 1955. REVISED 1978

Anderson-Nichols & Company, Inc.

Subject

H 211

Sheet No.

of 17

Date 12/79

Computed

Checked

JOB NO. 3409-08

OLDHAM POND DAM

SQUARES

1/4" SCALE

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38

HYDROLOGIC COMPUTATIONS

NAME : OLDHAM POND DAM

LOCATION : PASSAIC COUNTY, NJ

DRAINAGE AREA : 5.3 SQUARE MILES

SURFACE AREA : 17 ACRES

EVALUATION CRITERIA : SIZE : SMALL
HAZARD : HIGH

SPILLWAY DESIGN FLOOD : BASED ON SIZE AND HAZARD CLASSIFICATION

THE SPILLWAY DESIGN FLOOD WILL BE THE PMF

(PROBABLE MAXIMUM FLOOD) WITH A PEAK INFLOW

OF 14357 CFS.

JOB NO. 3409-08OLDHAM POND DAMSQUARES 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30
1/4 CALETIME OF CONCENTRATION

WITHIN THE OLDHAM POND DAM WATERSHED IS HALEDON RESERVOIR. HALEDON RESERVOIR SUB-WATERSHED OCCUPIES 1.6 SQUARE MILES OF THE TOTAL 5.3 SQUARE MILE WATERSHED. MOLLY ANN BROOK FLOWS OUT OF HALEDON RESERVOIR AND SQUAW BROOK JOINING IT BEFORE ENTERING OLDHAM POND. HALEDON RESERVOIR HAS HAD A PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT DONE FOR IT. THE HEC-1 RUN FOR OLDHAM POND DAM USES HALEDON RESERVOIR LAG TIME, STORAGE-ELEVATION AND ELEVATION-DISCHARGE INFORMATION. RESULTING HYDROGRAPHS DIFFER SINCE THE OLDHAM POND DAM'S HEC-1 RUN USES A FIVE MINUTE TIME INCREMENT AND DEVELOPS ITS OWN HYDROGRAPH. THE HALEDON RESERVOIR HEC-1 USED A TIME INCREMENT OF FIFTEEN MINUTES TO DEVELOP AND DIRECTLY INPUT THE UNIT HYDROGRAPH. THE OUTFLOW FROM HALEDON RESERVOIR WAS ROUTED TO THE MOLLY ANN BROOK AND SQUAW BROOK CONFLUENCE. AN INFLOW HYDROGRAPH WAS DEVELOPED FOR THE SUB-BASIN BETWEEN HALEDON RESERVOIR AND THE STREAM CONFLUENCE. A TIME OF CONCENTRATION WAS DEVELOPED FOR THIS AREA CALLED

JOB NO. 3409-08OLDHAM POND DAMSQUARES 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30
1/4" SCALETIME OF CONCENTRATION (CONT.)

SUB-BASIN 1. AT THE STREAM CONFLUENCE THE HALEDON RESERVOIR HYDROGRAPH AND THE SUB-BASIN 1 HYDROGRAPHS WERE COMBINED.

THIS COMBINED HYDROGRAPH WAS ROUTED TO THE INLET OF OLDHAM POND DAM. A TIME OF CONCENTRATION WAS DEVELOPED

FOR THIS AREA CALLED SUB-BASIN 2. THE ROUTED HALEDON

RESERVOIR/SUB-BASIN 1 HYDROGRAPH IS COMBINED WITH THE HYDROGRAPH FROM SUB-BASIN 2. THIS COMBINED HYDROGRAPH IS

ROUTED THROUGH OLDHAM POND AND OVER OLDHAM POND DAM.

A CROSS SECTION REPRESENTATIVE OF THE DOWNSTREAM HAZARD AREA WAS INPUT AND THE OUTFLOW FROM OLDHAM POND DAM WAS ROUTED DOWNSTREAM.

P ₁ BASIN	P ₁ ①	P ₁ ②
25.00	15.67	10.10
25.76	15.64	10.05
25.89	15.67	10.14
25.82	15.66	10.09


CONVERSION FACTOR - 0.15488 sq mi / 14 in.

AREA BASIN : 3.7 sq mi.

AREA SUB-BASIN ① : 2.3 sq mi.

AREA SUB-BASIN ② : 1.4 sq mi.

N ←



MA. R.R. BRIDGE - 1.6 MI. SW.

1/4/4

ANDERSON-NICHOLS	
VERNON	BOSTON
CONCORD	
CONCORD POND DAM	
DRAINAGE AREA	
DATE	SCALE
JOB NO.	SHEET NO.

JOB NO. 3409-08

OLDHAM POND DAM

Date 12/79

Computed HJB

Checked FDD

SQUARES
1/4" SCALE

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

TIME OF CONCENTRATION (CONT.)

SUB-BASIN 1 (SUB-BASIN IS AREA BETWEEN HALEDON REBER LAIR
OUTLET AND MOLLY ANN BROOK AND SQUAW
BROOK CONFLUENCE)


LENGTH OF OVERLAND FLOW = 3500'

ELEVATION DIFFERENCE FROM WATERSHED DIVIDE TO THE
SQUAW BROOK STREAM THREAD = 230'

SLOPE FOR OVERLAND FLOW = 0.066 OR 6.6%

ALSOLENGTH OF STREAMFLOW (SQUAW BROOK) FROM THE END OF
OVERLAND FLOW TO CONFLUENCE WITH MOLLY ANN
BROOK = 5800'ELEVATION DIFFERENCE FROM END OF OVERLAND FLOW TO
CONFLUENCE = 18'

SLOPE FOR SQUAW BROOK = 0.019 = 1.9%



JOB NO. 3409-08OLDHAM POND DAMSQUARES 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30
1/4 SCALETIME OF CONCENTRATION (CONT.)ALSO

THE REPRESENTATIVE CROSS SECTION FOR SUB BASIN 1 WAS
DRAWN TO DETERMINE AN APPROXIMATE STREAMFLOW
VELOCITY AT A WATER DEPTH OF 6'.

AREA FOR CROSS SECTION = 243 SQ. FT.

WETTED PERIMETER = 74.2 FT.

HYDRAULIC RADIUS = 3.3 FT.

CHANNEL "N" = .055

OVBANK "N" = .075

$$V = \frac{1.49}{n} (R)^{2/3} (S)^{1/2}$$

$$V = 8.3 \text{ fps}$$

THE TIME OF CONCENTRATION FOR STREAMFLOW OF SQUAW

BROOK EQUALS $\frac{5800'}{8.3(60)} = 11.6 \text{ MINUTES}$

JOB NO. 3A04-08OLDHAM POND DAMDate 12/14Computed hjhChecked F-OPSQUARES 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30
1/4 SCALETIME OF CONCENTRATION (CONT.)FOUR METHODS FOR DETERMINING T_c ARE AVERAGEDWESTON

$$L = 3500'$$

$$S = 6.6\%$$

$$V \approx 1.8 \text{ fps}$$

$$\frac{3500}{1.8(60)} = 32.4 \text{ MIN. FOR } T_c \text{ OVERLAND} + 11.6 \text{ STREAMFLOW}$$

$$T_c = 44 \text{ MINUTES}$$

KERBY

$$T_c = 0.83 \left(\frac{NL}{V^3} \right)^{0.467}$$

$$T_c = 0.83 \left(\frac{.1(3500)}{0.066} \right)^{0.467} = 24.1 \text{ MIN. FOR } T_c \text{ OVERLAND} +$$

11.6 MIN STREAMFLOW

$$T_c = 35.7 \text{ MINUTES}$$

JOB NO. 3409-08OLDHAM POND DAMSQUARES 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30
1/4" SCALETIME OF CONCENTRATION (CONT.)DESIGN OF SMALL DAMS

USING TEXAS HIGHWAY CHARTS FOR VELOCITIES:

CHANNEL VELOCITY = 3.0 fps

OVERLAND VELOCITY = 3.0 fps

$L_0 = 5800 \quad L_s = 3500$

$$T_c = \frac{5800 + 3500}{3} = 3100 \text{ sec.}$$

$$T_c = 51.7 \text{ min.}$$

SOIL & WATER CONSERVATION ENGINEERING

$$T_{LAG} = \frac{L^{0.8} (L+1)^{1.67}}{9000 Y^{0.5}}$$

WHERE: $L = \frac{1000}{N} - 10 \quad N = 80$

$$Y = \frac{350}{9300} = 0.38 = 3.8\%$$

$$T_L = \frac{9300^{0.8} (2.5)^{1.67}}{9000 (3.8)^{0.5}} = 0.39 \text{ HR, } T_c = 1.67 (T_L)$$

$$T_c = 39 \text{ MIN}$$

JOB NO. 3409-08OLDHAM POND DAMSQUARES
1/4 SCALE

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

TIME OF CONCENTRATION (CONT.)AVERAGE T_c FOR SUB-BASIN 1 = 43 MIN. T_L (LAG) FOR SUB-BASIN 1 = 26 MIN = 0.4 HRS.

SUB-BASIN 2 (SUB-BASIN IS AREA BETWEEN MOLLY ANN BROOK
AND SQUAW BROOK CONFLUENCE TO OLDHAM
POND DAM)

LENGTH OF OVERLAND FLOW = 4000'

ELEVATION DIFFERENCE FROM WATERSHED DIVIDE TO MOLLY

ANN BROOK STREAM THREAD = 450'

SLOPE FOR OVERLAND FLOW = .113 = 11.3%

Also


LENGTH OF STREAMFLOW (MOLLY ANN BROOK) FROM THE END

OF OVERLAND FLOW TO THE INLET OF OLDHAM POND. = 2400'

ELEVATION DIFFERENCE FROM END OF OVERLAND FLOW TO

THE INLET = 18'

SLOPE FOR THIS SECTION OF MOLLY ANN BROOK = .0075 = .75%



JOB NO. 3409-08

OLDHAM POND DAM

SQUARES

1/4" SCALE

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

TIME OF CONCENTRATION (CONT.)Also

THE REPRESENTATIVE CROSS SECTION FOR SUB-BASIN 2 WAS
DRAWN TO DETERMINE AN APPROXIMATE STREAMFLOW
VELOCITY AT A WATER DEPTH OF 6'.

AREA FOR CROSS SECTION = 122.3 SQ. FT.

WETTED PERIMETER = 39.8 FT.

HYDRAULIC RADIUS = 3.1 FT.

CHANNEL "N" = .055

OVBANK "N" = .075

$$V = \frac{1.49}{N} (R)^{2/3} (S)^{1/2}$$

$$V = 5.0 \text{ fps}$$

THE TIME OF CONCENTRATION FOR STREAMFLOW OF
MOLLY ANN BROOK EQUALS $\frac{2400'}{(5)(60)} = 8 \text{ MINUTES}$

JOB NO. 3409-08OLDHAM POND DAMSQUARES
1/4 SCALE

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

TIME OF CONCENTRATION (CONT.)FOUR METHODS FOR DETERMINING T_c ARE AVERAGEDWESTON

$$L = 4000'$$

$$S = 11.3\%$$

$$V = 2.2 \text{ fps}$$

$$\frac{4000}{2.2(60)} = 30.3 \text{ MIN. FOR } T_c \text{ OVERLAND} + 8.0 \text{ STREAMFLOW}$$

$$T_c = 38 \text{ MIN.}$$

KERBY

$$T_c = 0.83 \left(\frac{NL}{V^5} \right)^{.467}$$

$$T_c = 0.83 \left(\frac{.1(4000)}{V^{.113}} \right)^{.467} = 22.7 \text{ MIN. FOR } T_c \text{ OVERLAND} +$$

8.0 MIN. STREAMFLOW

$$T_c = 30.7 \text{ MIN.}$$

JOB NO. 3409-08

SQUARES 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30
1/4" SCALETIME OF CONCENTRATION (CONT.)DESIGN OF SMALL DAMS

USING TEXAS HIGHWAY CHARTS FOR VELOCITIES:

CHANNEL VELOCITY = 3.0 fps

OVERBANK VELOCITY = 3.0 fps

$L_o = 2400' \quad L_b = 4000'$

$$T_c = \frac{2400 + 4000}{3} = 2133 \text{ SEC}$$

$$T_c = 35.6 \text{ MIN.}$$

SOIL & WATER CONSERVATION ENGINEERING

$$T_{LAG} = \frac{d^{0.8} (2+1)^{1.67}}{9000 \gamma^{0.5}}$$

WHERE $d = \frac{1000}{N} - 10 \quad N = 80$

$$\gamma = \frac{468}{6400} = .073 = 7.3\%$$

$$T_L = \frac{6400^8 (2.5)^{1.67}}{9000 (7.3)^{.5}} = .37 \text{ HRS}, T_c = 1.67(T_L)$$

$$T_c = 37 \text{ MIN.}$$

JOB NO. 3400-08

OLDHAM POND DAM

SQUARES 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30
1/4" SCALETIME OF CONCENTRATION (CONT.)AVERAGE T_c FOR SUB-BASIN 2 = 35 MIN. T_L (LAG) FOR SUB-BASIN 2 = 21 MIN. = 0.3 HRS.STORAGE-ELEVATION DETERMINATIONS

ELEVATION FT.	HEAD FT.	AREA ACRES	AVG. AREA ACRES	STORAGE ACRE-Feet
202.7	0	17.5		77
203.7	1	18.3	17.9	95
204.7	2	19.0	18.7	114
205.7	3	19.8	19.4	133
206.7	4	20.5	20.2	153
207.7	5	21.2	20.9	174
208.7	6	22.0	21.6	196
209.7	7	22.7	22.4	218


NO. 31.282. 10 DIVISIONS PER INCH BOTH WAYS. 60 BY 80 DIVISIONS.

©COPY IN STOCK DIRECT FROM COOPE BOOK CO. NORWOOD, MASS. 02062
GRAPH PAPER
PRINTED IN U.S.A.

13/17

COMPUTED: KJS

CHNO: FDO



JOB NO. 3409-08

OLDHAM POND DAM

SQUARES 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30
1/4" SCALESPILLWAYS CAPACITIES


OLDHAM POND DAM HAS THREE SPILLWAYS. THE PRINCIPAL SPILLWAY AND TWO EMERGENCY SPILLWAYS. SPILLWAY CAPACITIES WERE DETERMINED USING THE WEIR EQUATION ($Q = CLH^{3/2}$). C VALUES FOR THE SPILLWAYS WERE TAKEN FROM AN ANALYSIS DATED 15 JULY 1963 BY JUSTIN & COURTNEY OF PHILADELPHIA.

ELEVATION NGVD	PRINCIPAL SPILL.			CENTER EMER. SPILL.			WEST EMER. SPILL.			OVER DAM				TOTAL
	H	C	Q	H	C	Q	H	C	Q	H	L	C	Q	Q
202.7	0	2.65	0											0
203.7	1	2.65	103	0	2.65	0	0	3.35	0					103
204.7	2	2.65	292	1	2.65	265	1	3.35	188					745
205.7	3	2.65	537	2	2.65	750	2	3.35	531					1818
206.7	4	2.65	827	3	2.65	1377	3	3.35	975	0				3179
207.7	5	2.65	1155	4	2.65	2120	4	3.35	1501	1	900	2.5	2250	7026
208.7	6	2.65	1519	5	2.65	2963	5	3.35	2097	2	950	2.5	6718	13297
209.7	7	2.65	1914	6	2.65	3895	6	3.35	2757	3	960	2.5	12411	21037

PRINCIPAL SPILLWAY: L=39 FT.

CENTER EMERGENCY SPILLWAY: L=100 FT


WEST EMERGENCY SPILLWAY: L=56 FT.

NO. 3115-11. 30 DIVISIONS PER INCH (120 DIVISIONS) BY 3/4 INCH CYCLES RATIO RULING.  IN STOCK DIRECT FROM CORES BOOK CO., NORWOOD, MASS. 02062
PRINTED IN U.S.A.

15/17


COMPUTED: KJS

CHAD: FDD



16/17

COMPUTED: K15
 CHKD: FDD



JOB NO. 3409-08

OLOHAM POND DAM

SQUARES
1/4 SCALE

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

DRAWDOWN CALCULATIONS

CALCULATIONS ASSUME ① NO SIGNIFICANT INFLOW ② BOX CULVERT

2.5' X 3.0' LOW LEVEL OUTLET TO BE FULLY OPERABLE ③ $Q_p = C_p(H)^{1/2}$ ④ ACRE-FT./DAY = 1.9835 (AVG. Q) ⑤ DAYS = Δ STOR / ACRE-FT./DAY

ELEVATION	STORAGE ACRE-FT.	Δ STOR. ACRE-FT.	H FT.	Q CFB	AVG. Q	ACRE-FT./ DAY	DAYS
202	77		9.8	124			
		22			118	234	.09
200	55		7.8	111			
		14			103	204	.07
198	41		5.8	95			
		13			86	171	.08
196	28		3.8	77			
		13			65	129	.10
194	15		1.8	53			
		12			31	62	.20
$\Delta\Delta$ 192	3		1.3	9			
		3			5	10	.30
190.7	0		0	0			

TOTAL = 0.84 DAY

$$\Delta Q_p = C_p(H)^{1/2}$$

$$C_p = A_p \sqrt{\frac{2g}{1 + K_L + K_F L_p}}$$

$$C_p = 39.6$$

$$\Delta\Delta \text{ USE MANNING EQUATION : } Q = \frac{1.49}{n} (A)(R)^{2/3} (S)^{1/2}$$

$$\text{WHERE } n = .038, A = 3.25, R = .64, S = .01$$

215

210 EAST

205

200

195

WEST

LOW LEVEL OUTLET

204.1

202.1 GRADE ELEVATION

203.7 EMBANKMENT

203.7 OCEAN ELEVATION


960

0

VIEW SECTION LOOKING D/S

ANDERSON-NICHOLS

VERNON	BOSTON	CONCORD
	CLONAM POND DAM	
	NORTH WALETON, NJ	
DATE	SCALE	JOB NO.
		SHEET NO.



HEC-1 OUTPUT
OVERTOPPING AND BREACH ANALYSIS

OLDHAM POND DAM

With a book, the most common of all, the author is in a much better position to make his or her point. The novel, with its fictional characters, can be more effective in making a point than the nonfictional account. The author can also make a point by using a personal narrative, a diary, or a letter. The author can also make a point by using a personal narrative, a diary, or a letter. The author can also make a point by using a personal narrative, a diary, or a letter.

51	C1	.227	.227	1	.1	
52	T					
53	W2		.4			
54	X	-3				
55	K	2	A5	1		
56	K1	COMBINE ROUTED HALEDON RESERVOIR AND SUB-BASIN ONE				
57	K	1	AC	1		
58	K1	ROUTE HALEDON RES/SUB 1 TO INLET OF OLDHAM POND				
59	Y	1		-1		
60	Y1	1	.070	217.	246.	4000.
61	Y6	.070	.055	.217.	.246.	.0120
62	Y7	0.	246.	16.	230.	73.
63	Y7	80.	219.	87.	220.	160.
64	K	0	A7	1		
65	K1	DEVELOP INFLOW HYDROGRAPH FOR SUB-BASIN TWO	.8			
66	M	0	1.4			
67	O	72				
68	O1	.207	.207	.207	.207	.207
69	O1	.207	.207	.248	.248	.248
70	O1	.248	.248	.310	.310	.310
71	O1	.310	.310	.310	.310	.310
72	O1	.659	1.601	2.638	1.036	.659
73	O1	.289	.289	.289	.289	.289
74	O1	.227	.227	.227	.227	.227
75	O1	.227	.227	.227	.227	.227
76	T					
77	W2		.4			
78	X	-3				
79	K	2	AR	1		
80	K1	COMBINE ROUTED HALEDON RES/SUB 1 AND SUB 2				
81	K	1	A9	1		
82	K1	ROUTE COMBINED HYDROGRAPH THROUGH OLDHAM POND				
83	Y	1		1		
84	Y1	1		77	-1	
85	Y4	202.7	203.7	204.7	205.7	206.7
86	Y5	0.	103.	745.	1818.	3179.
87	Y5	0.	103.	745.	1818.	3179.
88	Y5	0.	77.	95.	114.	153.
89	Y5	190.7	202.7	203.7	204.7	205.7
90	Y5	202.7	202.7	203.7	204.7	205.7
91	Y5	202.7	202.7	203.7	204.7	205.7
92	Y5	202.7	202.7	203.7	204.7	205.7
93	Y5	202.7	202.7	203.7	204.7	205.7
94	Y5	202.7	202.7	203.7	204.7	205.7
95	Y5	202.7	202.7	203.7	204.7	205.7
96	Y5	202.7	202.7	203.7	204.7	205.7
97	Y5	202.7	202.7	203.7	204.7	205.7
98	Y5	202.7	202.7	203.7	204.7	205.7
99	Y5	202.7	202.7	203.7	204.7	205.7
100	Y5	202.7	202.7	203.7	204.7	205.7

PREVIEW OF SEQUENCE OF STREAM NETWORK CALCULATIONS

RUNOFF HYDROGRAPH AT A1
ROUTE HYDROGRAPH TO A2
ROUTE HYDROGRAPH TO A3
FUNOFF HYDROGRAPH AT A4
COMBINE 2 HYDROGRAPHS AT A5
ROUTE HYDROGRAPH TO A6
RUNOFF HYDROGRAPH AT A7
COMBINE 2 HYDROGRAPHS AT A8
ROUTE HYDROGRAPH TO A9
END OF NETWORK A10

 FLOOD HYDROGRAPH PACKAGE (HEC-1)
 DAM SAFETY VERSION JULY 1970
 LAST MODIFICATION 26 FEB 79

RUN DATE: 80/01/24.
 TIME: 04.50.39.

OLDHAM FORD DAM OVERTOPPING AND BREACH ANALYSIS *K.STUART*AND*ANDERSON-NICHOLS*
 NEW JERSEY DAM NUMBER 400A
 0.2% 0.5% AND 1.0 MULTIPLES OF PMF FROM 6-HOUR 24.8 INCH PMF

JOB SPECIFICATION									
NQ	HHR	MHA	IDAY	IHR	ININ	METRC	IPLT	IPRT	NSTAN
120	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
			JOPER	RVT	LROPT	TRACE			
			5	0	0	0			

MULTI-PLAN ANALYSES TO BE PERFORMED

RTIOS= .20 .50 1.00
 NPLAN= 2 NR1IO= 3 LR1IO= 1

SUR-AREA RUNOFF COMPUTATION

HALEDON RESERVOIR INFLOW HYDROGRAPH-DY ECI

ISTAQ	ICOMP	IECON	ITYPE	JPLT	UPRT	INAME	ISTAGE	IAUTC
A1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0

HYDROGRAPH DATA

INHYD	IUNG	TAREA	SNAP	TRSDA	TRSPC	RATIO	ISNOE	ISAME	LOCAL
0	2	1.60	0.00	1.60	.80	0.000	0	1	0

PRECIP DATA

NP	STORM	CAJ	DAK
72	0.00	0.00	0.00

PRECIP PATTERN

.21	.21	.21	.21	.21	.21	.21	.21	.21	.21
.21	.25	.25	.25	.25	.25	.25	.25	.25	.25
.25	.25	.25	.31	.31	.31	.31	.31	.31	.31
.31	.31	.31	.31	.31	.31	.31	.31	.31	.31
.66	1.60	2.64	.66	.57	.30	.38	.38	.29	.29
.29	.29	.29	.29	.29	.29	.29	.29	.29	.29
.23	.23	.23	.23	.23	.23	.23	.23	.23	.23
.23	.23	.23	.23	.23	.23	.23	.23	.23	.23

LOSS DATA

LROPT	STRKR	INTKP	RTIOL	FRAIN	STKRS	RTIOY	STRIL	CNSTL	ALSMX	RTIMF
0	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	.10	0.00	0.00

UNIT HYDROGRAPH DATA

TCE= 0.00 LAC= .30

REFLECTION DATA

STRIDE -3.00 ORCSNE 0.00 RTIORE 1.00

UNIT HYDROGRAPH 20 END OF PERIOD ORIGINATES, TCE 0.00 4OURS, LAGE .30 VOL= 1.00
 316. 1021. 1930. 2261. 2077. 1624. 1031. 473. 316.
 214. 143. 56. 64. 29. 21. 14. 3.

PC.DA	HR.MN	PERIOD	RAIN	EXCS	LOSS	END-OF-PERIOD FLOW COMP 0	MO.DA	HR.MN	PERIOD	RAIN	EXCS	LOSS	COMP 0
1.01	.05	1	.17	0.00	.17	5.	1.01	5.05	61	.18	.17	.01	2796.
1.01	.10	2	.17	0.00	.17	5.	1.01	5.10	62	.18	.17	.01	2723.
1.01	.15	3	.17	0.00	.17	5.	1.01	5.15	63	.18	.17	.01	2613.
1.01	.20	4	.17	0.00	.17	5.	1.01	5.20	64	.18	.17	.01	2495.
1.01	.25	5	.17	0.00	.17	5.	1.01	5.25	65	.18	.17	.01	2389.
1.01	.30	6	.17	0.00	.17	5.	1.01	5.30	66	.18	.17	.01	2307.
1.01	.35	7	.17	.15	.01	53.	1.01	5.35	67	.18	.17	.01	2256.
1.01	.40	8	.17	.16	.01	209.	1.01	5.40	68	.18	.17	.01	2221.
1.01	.45	9	.17	.16	.01	508.	1.01	5.45	69	.18	.17	.01	2198.
1.01	.50	10	.17	.16	.01	562.	1.01	5.50	70	.18	.17	.01	2192.
1.01	.55	11	.17	.16	.01	1187.	1.01	5.55	71	.18	.17	.01	2171.
1.01	1.00	12	.17	.16	.01	1448.	1.01	6.00	72	.18	.17	.01	2164.
1.01	1.05	13	.20	.19	.01	1624.	1.01	6.05	73	0.00	0.00	0.00	2105.
1.01	1.10	14	.20	.19	.01	1768.	1.01	6.10	74	0.00	0.00	0.00	1925.
1.01	1.15	15	.20	.19	.01	1907.	1.01	6.15	75	0.00	0.00	0.00	1587.
1.01	1.20	16	.20	.19	.01	2032.	1.01	6.20	76	0.00	0.00	0.00	1194.
1.01	1.25	17	.20	.19	.01	2134.	1.01	6.25	77	0.00	0.00	0.00	833.
1.01	1.30	18	.20	.19	.01	2211.	1.01	6.30	78	0.00	0.00	0.00	551.
1.01	1.35	19	.20	.19	.01	2260.	1.01	6.35	79	0.00	0.00	0.00	371.
1.01	1.40	20	.20	.19	.01	2293.	1.01	6.40	80	0.00	0.00	0.00	252.
1.01	1.45	21	.20	.19	.01	2315.	1.01	6.45	81	0.00	0.00	0.00	170.
1.01	1.50	22	.20	.19	.01	2330.	1.01	6.50	82	0.00	0.00	0.00	115.
1.01	1.55	23	.20	.19	.01	2341.	1.01	6.55	83	0.00	0.00	0.00	78.
1.01	2.00	24	.20	.19	.01	2348.	1.01	7.00	84	0.00	0.00	0.00	53.
1.01	2.05	25	.25	.24	.01	2368.	1.01	7.05	85	0.00	0.00	0.00	37.
1.01	2.10	26	.25	.24	.01	2421.	1.01	7.10	86	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.
1.01	2.15	27	.25	.24	.01	2519.	1.01	7.15	87	0.00	0.00	0.00	18.
1.01	2.20	28	.25	.24	.01	2632.	1.01	7.20	88	0.00	0.00	0.00	13.
1.01	2.25	29	.25	.24	.01	2735.	1.01	7.25	89	0.00	0.00	0.00	9.
1.01	2.30	30	.25	.24	.01	2816.	1.01	7.30	90	0.00	0.00	0.00	7.
1.01	2.35	31	.25	.24	.01	2868.	1.01	7.35	91	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.
1.01	2.40	32	.25	.24	.01	2902.	1.01	7.40	92	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.
1.01	2.45	33	.25	.24	.01	2926.	1.01	7.45	93	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.
1.01	2.50	34	.25	.24	.01	2941.	1.01	7.50	94	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.
1.01	2.55	35	.25	.24	.01	2952.	1.01	7.55	95	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.
1.01	3.00	36	.25	.24	.01	2959.	1.01	8.00	96	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.
1.01	3.05	37	.15	.14	.01	2933.	1.01	8.05	97	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.
1.01	3.10	38	.30	.29	.01	2884.	1.01	8.10	98	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.
1.01	3.15	39	.30	.29	.01	2852.	1.01	8.15	99	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.
1.01	3.20	40	.45	.44	.01	2873.	1.01	8.20	100	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.
1.01	3.25	41	.53	.52	.01	3291.	1.01	8.25	101	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.
1.01	3.30	42	1.20	1.27	.01	4053.	1.01	8.30	102	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.
1.01	3.35	43	2.11	2.10	.01	5716.	1.01	8.35	103	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.
1.01	3.40	44	.83	.82	.01	8190.	1.01	8.40	104	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.
1.01	3.45	45	.53	.52	.01	1056.	1.01	8.45	105	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.
1.01	3.50	46	.45	.44	.01	1150.	1.01	8.50	106	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.
1.01	3.55	47	.30	.29	.01	1104.	1.01	8.55	107	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.
1.01	4.00	48	.30	.29	.01	9671.	1.01	9.00	108	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.
1.01	4.05	49	.27	.22	.01	7050.	1.01	9.05	109	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.

QN(1)	QN(2)	QN(3)	ELNVT	ELMAX	RLNTH	SEL
.0700	.0550	.0700	320.0	340.0	0000.	.02025

CROSS SECTION COORDINATES--STA,ELEV,STA,ELEV--ETC

	0.00	1.00	4.75	9.43	16.79	26.83	39.54	54.92	72.97	93.69
STORAGE	116.83	140.89	165.63	191.04	217.11	243.86	271.28	299.38	324.14	357.59
OUTFLOW	0.00	36.42	130.69	321.12	630.89	1091.28	1739.40	2570.06	3636.45	4790.58
	6629.43	8662.79	10940.10	13454.52	16201.20	19176.72	22378.73	25805.64	29456.51	33330.88
STAFF	320.00	321.05	322.11	323.16	324.21	325.26	326.32	327.37	328.42	329.47
	330.53	331.58	332.63	333.68	334.74	335.79	336.84	337.89	338.95	340.00
FLOW	0.00	36.42	130.69	321.12	630.89	1091.28	1739.40	2570.06	3636.45	4790.58
	6629.43	8662.79	10940.10	13454.52	16201.20	19176.72	22378.73	25805.64	29456.51	33330.88

STATION A3, PLAN 1, RT10 3

	C.	n.	0.	0.	0.	OUTFLOW	0.	1.	2.	4.
	10.	18.	29.	50.	81.	116.	157.	203.	250.	29P.
	367.	396.	401.	480.	516.	598.	679.	608.	636.	661.
	691.	696.	718.	717.	725.	764.	862.	999.	1159.	1331.
	1504.	1688.	1914.	2206.	2770.	3541.	4486.	5462.	6250.	6850.
	7117.	7102.	6891.	6568.	6215.	5829.	5441.	5071.	4754.	4474.
	4218.	3908.	3783.	3600.	3438.	3289.	3156.	3038.	2933.	283P.
	2754.	2679.	2611.	2542.	2485.	2408.	2309.	2187.	2045.	1850.
	1730.	1581.	1432.	1288.	1149.	1036.	957.	899.	857.	825.
	802.	786.	773.	764.	757.	751.	747.	744.	738.	738.
	736.	734.	732.	731.	728.	725.	719.	713.	705.	697.
	689.	681.	672.	663.	655.	646.	637.	629.	621.	613.

	C.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	SIOR	0.	0.	0.
	1.	1.	2.	2.	3.	4.	5.	7.	8.	9.
	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.	15.	16.	16.	17.	17.
	18.	18.	18.	19.	19.	20.	22.	25.	28.	32.
	35.	39.	43.	49.	58.	71.	86.	101.	112.	119.
	123.	122.	120.	116.	111.	106.	100.	95.	91.	86.
	82.	79.	75.	72.	70.	67.	65.	63.	61.	59.
	58.	57.	56.	55.	53.	52.	50.	48.	45.	42.
	40.	37.	34.	31.	28.	26.	24.	23.	22.	21.
	21.	20.	20.	20.	20.	19.	19.	19.	19.	19.
	19.	19.	19.	19.	19.	19.	19.	19.	18.	18.
	18.	18.	18.	17.	17.	17.	17.	17.	17.	16.

STAGE									
320.0	320.0	320.0	320.0	320.0	320.0	320.0	320.0	320.0	320.0
320.3	320.5	320.8	321.2	321.6	321.9	322.3	322.5	322.8	323.0
323.2	323.4	323.6	323.7	323.8	323.9	324.0	324.1	324.2	324.3
324.3	324.4	324.4	324.4	324.4	324.5	324.7	325.1	325.4	325.7
325.9	326.2	326.6	327.0	327.6	328.3	329.1	329.8	330.3	330.6
330.8	330.8	330.7	330.5	330.3	330.0	329.8	329.5	329.3	329.1
328.9	328.7	328.5	328.4	328.2	328.1	327.9	327.8	327.7	327.6
327.5	327.5	327.4	327.3	327.3	327.2	327.0	326.9	326.7	326.5
326.3	326.1	325.8	325.6	325.4	325.1	325.0	324.8	324.7	324.7
324.6	324.6	324.5	324.5	324.5	324.5	324.5	324.5	324.5	324.5
324.5	324.4	324.4	324.4	324.4	324.4	324.4	324.4	324.4	324.4
324.3	324.3	324.3	324.3	324.3	324.2	324.2	324.2	324.2	324.2
TOTAL VOLUME									
202263.									
5127.									
16.33									
414.85									
414.85									
1393.									
1718.									

MAXIMUM STORAGE = 123.

MAXIMUM STAGE IS 330.8

STATION A3, PLAN 2, RTIO 3

OUTFLOW									
0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.
10.	18.	20.	50.	81.	116.	157.	203.	250.	298.
347.	396.	441.	480.	517.	548.	579.	608.	636.	661.
681.	696.	707.	717.	726.	734.	742.	749.	755.	761.
1504.	1608.	1718.	2246.	2770.	3541.	4486.	5462.	6290.	7050.
7117.	7102.	6891.	6568.	6215.	5829.	5441.	5071.	4754.	4474.
4218.	3988.	3783.	3600.	3478.	3325.	3157.	3034.	2933.	2838.
2754.	2679.	2611.	2545.	2485.	2428.	2374.	2322.	2272.	2224.
1730.	1581.	1432.	1288.	1149.	1036.	947.	879.	825.	780.
802.	786.	773.	764.	757.	751.	747.	744.	741.	738.
736.	734.	732.	731.	728.	725.	719.	713.	705.	697.
689.	681.	672.	663.	655.	646.	637.	629.	621.	613.

STOR.									
0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.
1.	1.	2.	2.	3.	4.	5.	7.	8.	9.
10.	11.	12.	13.	14.	15.	16.	16.	17.	17.
18.	18.	18.	19.	19.	20.	22.	25.	28.	32.
35.	39.	43.	49.	58.	71.	86.	101.	112.	119.
123.	122.	120.	116.	111.	106.	100.	95.	91.	86.
82.	79.	75.	72.	70.	67.	65.	63.	61.	59.
58.	57.	56.	55.	53.	52.	50.	48.	45.	42.
40.	37.	34.	31.	28.	26.	24.	23.	22.	21.
21.	20.	20.	20.	20.	19.	19.	19.	19.	19.
19.	19.	19.	19.	19.	19.	19.	19.	18.	18.
18.	18.	18.	17.	17.	17.	17.	17.	17.	16.

.21	.21	.21	.21	.21	.21	.21	.21
.21	.25	.25	.25	.25	.25	.25	.25
.25	.25	.25	.31	.31	.31	.31	.31
.31	.31	.31	.31	.31	.31	.31	.31
.66	1.60	2.64	1.04	.66	.57	.38	.29
.66	.29	.29	.29	.29	.29	.29	.29
.23	.23	.23	.23	.23	.23	.23	.23
.23	.23	.23	.23	.23	.23	.23	.23

LOSS DATA

LPROPT	STRKR	OLTKR	RYTOL	ERAIN	STRKS	RTIOX	STRTL	CNSTL	ALSMX	RTIMP
0	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	.10	0.00	0.00

UNIT HYDROGRAPH DATA

TC= 0.00 LAG= .40

RECESSION DATA

```

RTTOR= 1.00
GRCSN= 0.00
STRTO= -3.00

```

UNIT HYDROGRAPH 26 END OF PERIOD ORDINATES, IC=					0.00 HOURS, LAG=	.40 VOL= 1.00			
232.	712.	1498.	2215.	2502.	2443.	2122.	1603.	1162.	850.
635.	472.	343.	254.	185.	135.	100.	73.	54.	40.
30.	23.	17.	12.	7.	2.				

END-OF-PERIOD FLOW

MO. DA.	HR. MN.	PERIOD	RAIN	EXCS	LOSS	COMP Q	END-OF-PERIOD FLOW	MO. DA.	HR. MN.	PERIOD	RAIN	EXCS	LOSS	COMP Q
1.01	.05	1	.17	0.00	.17	7.	1.01	5.05	61	.18	.17	.01	4256.	COMP Q
1.01	.10	2	.17	0.00	.17	7.	1.01	5.10	62	.18	.17	.01	4144.	
1.01	.15	3	.17	0.00	.17	7.	1.01	5.15	63	.18	.17	.01	4014.	
1.01	.20	4	.17	0.00	.17	7.	1.01	5.20	64	.18	.17	.01	3864.	
1.01	.25	5	.17	0.00	.17	7.	1.01	5.25	65	.18	.17	.01	3707.	
1.01	.30	6	.17	0.00	.17	7.	1.01	5.30	66	.18	.17	.01	3536.	
1.01	.35	7	.17	.15	.01	42.	1.01	5.35	67	.18	.17	.01	3431.	
1.01	.40	8	.17	.16	.01	151.	1.01	5.40	68	.18	.17	.01	3329.	
1.01	.45	9	.17	.16	.01	382.	1.01	5.45	69	.18	.17	.01	3261.	
1.01	.50	10	.17	.16	.01	726.	1.01	5.50	70	.18	.17	.01	3214.	
1.01	.55	11	.17	.16	.01	1117.	1.01	5.55	71	.18	.17	.01	3140.	
1.01	1.00	12	.17	.16	.01	1502.	1.01	6.00	72	.18	.17	.01	3155.	
1.01	1.05	13	.20	.19	.01	1845.	1.01	6.05	73	0.00	0.00	0.00	3095.	
1.01	1.10	14	.20	.19	.01	2136.	1.01	6.10	74	0.00	0.00	0.00	2962.	
1.01	1.15	15	.20	.19	.01	2371.	1.01	6.15	75	0.00	0.00	0.00	2823.	
1.01	1.20	16	.20	.19	.01	2579.	1.01	6.20	76	0.00	0.00	0.00	2702.	
1.01	1.25	17	.20	.19	.01	2762.	1.01	6.25	77	0.00	0.00	0.00	2664.	
1.01	1.30	18	.20	.19	.01	2916.	1.01	6.30	78	0.00	0.00	0.00	2437.	
1.01	1.35	19	.20	.19	.01	3042.	1.01	6.35	79	0.00	0.00	0.00	2302.	
1.01	1.40	20	.20	.19	.01	3138.	1.01	6.40	80	0.00	0.00	0.00	1967.	
1.01	1.45	21	.20	.19	.01	3206.	1.01	6.45	81	0.00	0.00	0.00	772.	
1.01	1.50	22	.20	.19	.01	3256.	1.01	6.50	82	0.00	0.00	0.00	422.	
1.01	1.55	23	.20	.19	.01	3292.	1.01	6.55	83	0.00	0.00	0.00	311.	
1.01	2.00	24	.20	.19	.01	3316.	1.01	7.00	84	0.00	0.00	0.00	320.	
1.01	2.05	25	.25	.24	.01	3350.	1.01	7.05	85	0.00	0.00	0.00	146.	
1.01	2.10	26	.25	.24	.01	3400.	1.01	7.10	86	0.00	0.00	0.00	125.	

[illegible]

CFS	PEAK	6-HOUR	24-FOUR	72-HOUR	TOTAL
	14299.	4497.	2719.	2719.	326297.
CMS	405.	127.	77.	77.	9240.
INCHES		18.19	18.33	18.33	18.33
MM		462.03	465.56	465.56	465.56
AC-FT		2230.	2247.	2247.	2247.
THOUS CU H		2772.	2772.	2772.	2772.

GN(1)	DN(2)	DN(3)	FLNVT	FLNAX	RLNTH	SFI
4300	0550	0700	217.0	246.0	4000.	.01200

CROSS SECTION COORDINATES--STA., ELEV., STA., ELEV.--ETC.

	0.00	.81	2.40	6.00	11.31	18.33	27.06	37.50	49.65	63.40
STORAGE	76.00	93.59	109.90	127.03	144.90	163.74	183.31	203.70	224.91	246.92
OUTFLOW	0.00	26.89	102.87	295.56	643.10	1180.59	1940.54	2953.26	4247.35	5920.62
	7974.64	10326.56	12976.94	15928.05	19183.37	22747.15	26624.28	30820.06	35340.15	40159.42
STAGE	217.00	218.53	220.05	221.58	223.11	224.63	226.16	227.68	229.21	230.74
	232.26	233.79	235.32	236.84	238.37	239.89	241.42	242.95	244.47	246.00
FLOW	0.00	26.89	102.87	295.56	643.10	1180.59	1940.54	2953.26	4247.35	5920.62
	7974.64	10326.56	12976.94	15928.05	19183.37	22747.15	26624.28	30820.06	35340.15	40159.42

STATION AG, PLAN 1, RTIO 3

OUTFLOW									
7.	7.	7.	7.	7.	7.	7.	7.	7.	7.
482.	812.	1101.	1573.	1917.	2244.	2526.	2774.	2993.	3192.
3350.	3407.	3597.	3765.	3842.	3930.	3930.	4042.	4175.	4327.
4479.	4608.	4712.	4790.	4850.	4905.	4973.	5062.	5171.	5321.
5564.	6019.	6979.	7650.	8850.	10226.	11682.	12685.	13557.	15044.
18030.	16697.	15360.	14106.	12959.	11932.	11133.	10391.	9794.	9280.
8857.	8477.	8125.	7796.	7476.	7164.	6874.	6616.	6390.	6219.
6072.	5950.	5842.	5705.	5492.	5178.	4739.	4243.	3773.	3299.
2866.	2500.	2190.	1912.	1683.	1479.	1306.	1170.	1073.	995.
934.	850.	823.	802.	786.	774.	753.	765.	758.	753.
749.	746.	744.	739.	737.	734.	729.	724.	717.	717.
710.	702.	694.	686.	678.	669.	661.	652.	644.	636.

TABLE 3

STATION A6, PLAN 2, RTIO 3

[illegible]

STOR									
0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.
14.	18.	23.	27.	30.	33.	36.	38.	39.	40.
43.	44.	46.	45.	46.	47.	48.	49.	49.	50.
53.	53.	54.	55.	55.	56.	56.	57.	57.	58.
64.	71.	83.	99.	117.	133.	142.	146.	146.	144.
131.	124.	116.	110.	104.	99.	94.	50.	50.	47.
81.	79.	77.	75.	72.	70.	68.	67.	66.	66.
64.	63.	62.	60.	57.	54.	50.	45.	41.	41.
33.	30.	27.	24.	22.	20.	18.	17.	17.	16.
14.	16.	14.	12.	13.	13.	13.	13.	13.	13.
17.	17.	13.	17.	13.	12.	12.	12.	12.	12.
12.	12.	12.	12.	12.	12.	11.	11.	11.	11.

[illegible]

	PEAK	1-HOUR	24-HOUR	72-HOUR	TOTAL
CIS	19357.	6820.	4391.	4391.	52964.
CIS	548.	195.	124.	124.	14922.
INCHES		16.43	17.66	17.46	17.46
MM		417.04	443.41	443.41	443.41
AC-FT		3417.	3629.	3629.	3629.
AC-FT		4214.	4477.	4477.	4477.

MAXIMUM STORAGE = 146.

MAXIMUM STAGE IS 2311.4

SUB-AREA RUNOFF COMPUTATION

DEVELOP INFLOW HYDROGRAPH FOR SUB-BASIN TWO

ISTAO	ICOMP	IECON	ITAPE	JPLT	JPRY	INAME	ISTAGE	IAUTO
A7	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0

HYDROGRAPH DATA

[illegible]

LOSS DATA

PROPT	STRKR	PLIKR	RTIOL	ERAIN	STRKS	RTIOK	STRTL	CNSTL	ALSPY	RTIFF
0	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	.10	0.00	0.00

0.00 LAT= .40

```

-3.10  PRCSN= 0.00  RTOR= 1.00
REGRESSION DATA

```

5

MO. DA	HR. MN	PERIOD	RAIN	EXCS	LOSS	COMP O	FIND-OF-REFIDC FLOW	MO. DA	HR. MN	PERIOD	RAIN	EXCS	LOSS	COPY O
1.01	.05	1	.17	0.00	.17	9.	1.01	5.05	61	.10	.17	.01	2521.	
1.01	.10	2	.17	0.00	.17	9.	1.01	5.10	62	.18	.17	.01	2522.	
1.01	.15	3	.17	0.00	.17	9.	1.01	5.15	63	.18	.17	.01	2523.	
1.01	.20	4	.17	0.00	.17	9.	1.01	5.20	64	.18	.17	.01	2524.	
1.01	.25	5	.17	0.00	.17	9.	1.01	5.25	65	.18	.17	.01	2525.	
1.01	.30	6	.17	0.00	.17	9.	1.01	5.30	66	.18	.17	.01	2526.	
1.01	.35	7	.17	.15	.01	26.	1.01	5.35	67	.18	.17	.01	2527.	
1.01	.40	8	.17	.16	.01	92.	1.01	5.40	68	.18	.17	.01	2528.	
1.01	.45	9	.17	.16	.01	232.	1.01	5.45	69	.18	.17	.01	2529.	
1.01	.50	10	.17	.16	.01	442.	1.01	5.50	70	.18	.17	.01	2530.	
1.01	.55	11	.17	.16	.01	600.	1.01	5.55	71	.18	.17	.01	2531.	
1.01	1.00	12	.17	.16	.01	914.	1.01	6.00	72	.18	.17	.01	2532.	
1.01	1.05	13	.20	.19	.01	1123.	1.01	6.05	73	0.00	0.00	0.00	2533.	
1.01	1.10	14	.20	.19	.01	1300.	1.01	6.10	74	0.00	0.00	0.00	2534.	
1.01	1.15	15	.20	.19	.01	1443.	1.01	6.15	75	0.00	0.00	0.00	2535.	
1.01	1.20	16	.20	.19	.01	1570.	1.01	6.20	76	0.00	0.00	0.00	2536.	
1.01	1.25	17	.20	.19	.01	1642.	1.01	6.25	77	0.00	0.00	0.00	2537.	
1.01	1.30	18	.20	.19	.01	1776.	1.01	6.30	78	0.00	0.00	0.00	2538.	
1.01	1.35	19	.20	.19	.01	1852.	1.01	6.35	79	0.00	0.00	0.00	2539.	
1.01	1.40	20	.20	.19	.01	1910.	1.01	6.40	80	0.00	0.00	0.00	2540.	
1.01	1.45	21	.20	.19	.01	1951.	1.01	6.45	81	0.00	0.00	0.00	2541.	
1.01	1.50	22	.20	.19	.01	1981.	1.01	6.50	82	0.00	0.00	0.00	2542.	
1.01	1.55	23	.20	.19	.01	2004.	1.01	6.55	83	0.00	0.00	0.00	2543.	
1.01	2.00	24	.20	.19	.01	2020.	1.01	7.00	84	0.00	0.00	0.00	2544.	
1.01	2.05	25	.25	.24	.01	2039.	1.01	7.05	85	0.00	0.00	0.00	2545.	
1.01	2.10	26	.25	.24	.01	2070.	1.01	7.10	86	0.00	0.00	0.00	2546.	
1.01	2.15	27	.25	.24	.01	2122.	1.01	7.15	87	0.00	0.00	0.00	2547.	
1.01	2.20	28	.25	.24	.01	2193.	1.01	7.20	88	0.00	0.00	0.00	2548.	
1.01	2.25	29	.25	.24	.01	2273.	1.01	7.25	89	0.00	0.00	0.00	2549.	
1.01	2.30	30	.25	.24	.01	2349.	1.01	7.30	90	0.00	0.00	0.00	2550.	
1.01	2.35	31	.25	.24	.01	2415.	1.01	7.35	91	0.00	0.00	0.00	2551.	
1.01	2.40	32	.25	.24	.01	2467.	1.01	7.40	92	0.00	0.00	0.00	2552.	
1.01	2.45	33	.25	.24	.01	2502.	1.01	7.45	93	0.00	0.00	0.00	2553.	
1.01	2.50	34	.25	.24	.01	2528.	1.01	7.50	94	0.00	0.00	0.00	2554.	
1.01	2.55	35	.25	.24	.01	2540.	1.01	7.55	95	0.00	0.00	0.00	2555.	
1.01	3.00	36	.25	.24	.01	2563.	1.01	8.00	96	0.00	0.00	0.00	2556.	
1.01	3.05	37	.15	.14	.01	2559.	1.01	8.05	97	0.00	0.00	0.00	2557.	
1.01	3.10	38	.30	.29	.01	2546.	1.01	8.10	98	0.00	0.00	0.00	2558.	
1.01	3.15	39	.30	.29	.01	2520.	1.01	8.15	99	0.00	0.00	0.00	2559.	
1.01	3.20	40	.45	.44	.01	2560.	1.01	8.20	100	0.00	0.00	0.00	2560.	
1.01	3.25	41	.53	.52	.01	2694.	1.01	8.25	101	0.00	0.00	0.00	2561.	
1.01	3.30	42	1.20	1.27	.01	3057.	1.01	8.30	102	0.00	0.00	0.00	2562.	
1.01	3.35	43	2.11	2.10	.01	3072.	1.01	8.35	103	0.00	0.00	0.00	2563.	
1.01	3.40	44	.03	.02	.01	3165.	1.01	8.40	104	0.00	0.00	0.00	2564.	
1.01	3.45	45	.53	.52	.01	6765.	1.01	8.45	105	0.00	0.00	0.00	2565.	
1.01	3.50	46	.45	.44	.01	8084.	1.01	8.50	106	0.00	0.00	0.00	2566.	
1.01	3.55	47	.30	.29	.01	8706.	1.01	8.55	107	0.00	0.00	0.00	2567.	
1.01	4.00	48	.30	.29	.01	8633.	1.01	9.00	108	0.00	0.00	0.00	2568.	
1.01	4.05	49	.23	.22	.01	9017.	1.01	9.05	109	0.00	0.00	0.00	2569.	
1.01	4.10	50	.23	.22	.01	7062.	1.01	9.10	110	0.00	0.00	0.00	2570.	
1.01	4.15	51	.23	.22	.01	6016.	1.01	9.15	111	0.00	0.00	0.00	2571.	
1.01	4.20	52	.23	.22	.01	5166.	1.01	9.20	112	0.00	0.00	0.00	2572.	

[illegible][illegible]

FLAIR 2: SMT AS FLAIR 1

COMBINE HYDROGRAPHIS

COMBINE ROUTE HALEDON RES/SUD 1 AND SUD 2.

ISTAG	TECMP	ICCON	ITAPP	JPLT	JPRY	INAME	ISTAGE	IAUTC
AI	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0

[illegible]

SUP OF 2 HYDROGRAPHS AT		AP		PLAN 2		PTIG 3	
11.	11.	11.	11.	11.	11.	11.	11.
1163.	1726.	2304.	2873.	3361.	3814.	4208.	4550.
5306.	5469.	5603.	5708.	5805.	5911.	6052.	6235.
6874.	7075.	7214.	7319.	7398.	7467.	7532.	7608.
8258.	8677.	90451.	93823.	97964.	102310.	105625.	109374.
20006.	21244.	10856.	18067.	16517.	15294.	14142.	13258.
11448.	10990.	10569.	10148.	9733.	9231.	8592.	8392.
8000.	7070.	7727.	7507.	7131.	6570.	5874.	5118.
3213.	2765.	2379.	2051.	1786.	1554.	1363.	1212.
952.	900.	861.	831.	808.	791.	770.	769.
754.	751.	748.	746.	744.	741.	733.	728.
714.	707.	699.	690.	682.	673.	665.	656.

FFAK		6-HOUR		24-HOUR		72-HOUR		TOTAL VOLUME	
CLS	CLS	CLS	CLS	CLS	CLS	CLS	CLS	CLS	CLS
27374.	27374.	9600.	9600.	6046.	6046.	6046.	6046.	72579.	72579.
775.	775.	272.	272.	171.	171.	171.	171.	20546.	20546.
16.85	16.85	17.69	17.69	17.69	17.69	17.69	17.69	17.69	17.69
427.97	427.97	449.26	449.26	449.26	449.26	449.26	449.26	449.26	449.26
4760.	4760.	4997.	4997.	4997.	4997.	4997.	4997.	4997.	4997.
5872.	5872.	6164.	6164.	6164.	6164.	6164.	6164.	6164.	6164.

THOUS CU M	
AC-17	AC-17
4997.	4997.
6164.	6164.

HYDROGRAPH ROUTING

ROUTE COMBINED HYDROGRAPH THROUGH OLDHAM POND

ISTAG	ICOMP	IECON	ITAPE	JPLT	JFRT	INAPE	ISTAGE	IAUTC
A9	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0

ALL PLANS HAVE SAME

ROUTING DATA

STAGE	202.70	203.70	204.70	205.70	206.70	207.70	208.70	209.70
FLOW	0.00	103.00	745.00	1818.00	3179.00	7026.00	13297.00	21037.00
CAPACITY	0.	77.	95.	114.	133.	153.	174.	196.
ELEVATION	191.	203.	204.	205.	206.	207.	208.	209.

CREL	SPNID	CNOV	EXFW	FLEV	COOL	CART	EXPL
202.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

DAM DATA

TOPEL	CCOD	EXPD	DAMVID
206.7	0.0	0.0	0.

DAM BREACH DATA

PRVID	ELHP	TFAIL	USEL	FAILL
30.	1.00	170.7	1.00	202.00

STATION A, PLAN 1, RATIO 1

BEGIN DAM FAILURE AT 2.42 HOURS

END-OF-PERICE HYDROGRAPH OFFICATES

•CVM•

REGIN DAM FAILURE AT 1.50 HOURS

STATION -- A, PLAN 1, RATIO 2

END-OF-PERIOD HYDROGRAPH ORDINATES

OUTFLOW									
0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.
40.	99.	479.	1016.	1700.	2397.	3010.	3890.	4615.	5079.
5419.	5686.	5904.	6086.	6350.	6714.	7000.	7262.	7570.	7875.
7343.	7191.	7172.	7212.	7273.	7340.	7415.	7495.	7577.	7687.
7886.	8200.	9163.	10937.	14946.	20179.	24267.	26022.	27429.	28630.
28429.	22740.	20734.	18844.	17185.	15897.	14841.	13842.	13011.	12337.
11776.	11307.	11049.	10659.	10254.	9848.	9455.	9104.	8794.	8525.
8300.	8113.	7950.	7778.	7541.	7219.	6800.	6257.	5727.	5264.
4497.	3702.	3145.	2712.	2360.	2069.	1823.	1616.	1446.	1309.
1197.	1106.	1033.	973.	925.	886.	855.	831.	811.	796.
784.	775.	767.	761.	757.	752.	749.	745.	741.	736.
731.	725.	719.	712.	709.	697.	689.	681.	673.	665.

STORAGE

73.	73.	73.	73.	73.	73.	73.	73.	73.	73.
85.	94.	106.	119.	131.	142.	151.	157.	160.	161.
160.	159.	157.	155.	152.	147.	141.	134.	127.	110.
114.	112.	111.	112.	113.	114.	114.	115.	116.	117.
119.	123.	132.	147.	168.	185.	197.	204.	205.	203.
159.	193.	181.	176.	176.	172.	167.	163.	159.	156.
154.	151.	148.	145.	141.	138.	134.	131.	128.	125.
123.	121.	120.	118.	116.	112.	107.	99.	91.	81.
72.	64.	58.	53.	49.	45.	42.	39.	37.	34.
33.	31.	30.	29.	28.	27.	26.	26.	26.	25.
25.	25.	25.	25.	24.	24.	24.	24.	24.	24.
24.	24.	24.	24.	23.	23.	23.	23.	23.	23.

STAGE

202.0	202.0	202.0	202.0	202.1	202.1	202.1	202.1	202.1	202.1
202.0	202.0	202.0	202.0	202.1	202.1	202.1	202.1	202.1	202.1
203.1	203.7	204.3	205.0	205.6	206.1	206.6	206.9	207.0	207.1
207.0	207.0	206.9	206.8	206.6	206.4	206.1	205.8	205.4	205.0
204.7	204.6	204.6	204.6	204.6	204.7	204.7	204.8	204.8	204.9
205.0	205.2	205.6	206.4	207.4	208.2	208.8	209.1	209.1	209.0
208.8	208.6	208.3	208.0	207.8	207.6	207.4	207.2	207.0	206.5
206.7	206.6	206.5	206.3	206.1	205.9	205.8	205.6	205.4	205.3
205.2	205.1	205.0	204.9	204.8	204.6	204.3	203.9	203.5	202.9
201.9	200.7	199.8	199.0	198.4	197.8	197.3	196.8	196.4	196.1
195.8	195.5	195.3	195.2	195.0	194.9	194.8	194.7	194.6	194.6
194.6	194.6	194.5	194.5	194.5	194.5	194.5	194.5	194.5	194.5
194.4	194.4	194.4	194.4	194.3	194.3	194.3	194.3	194.3	194.2

PEAK OUTFLOW IS 27429. AT TIME 4.08 HOURS

CF'S	PEAK	6-HOUR	24-HOUR	72-HOUR	TOTAL VOLUME
27429.	27429.	5742.	6107.	6107.	732051.
777.	777.	276.	177.	173.	20752.
		17.10	17.86	17.86	17.86
		434.31	453.77	453.77	453.77
		4831.	5047.	5047.	5047.
		5959.	6226.	6226.	6226.

THOUS CU FT

THE DAM BREACH HYDROGRAPH WAS DEVELOPED USING A TIME INTERVAL OF .021 HOURS DURING BREACH FORMATION.
 DOWNSTREAM CALCULATIONS WILL USE A TIME INTERVAL OF .063 HOURS.
 THIS TABLE COMPARES THE HYDROGRAPH FOR DOWNSTREAM CALCULATIONS WITH THE COMPUTED BREACH HYDROGRAPH.
 INTERMEDIATE FLOWS ARE INTERPOLATED FROM END-OF-PERIOD VALUES.

TIME (HOURS)	TIME FROM BEGINNING OF BREACH (HOURS)	INTERPOLATED BREACH HYDROGRAPH (CFS)	COMPUTED BREACH HYDROGRAPH (CFS)	ERROR (CFS)	ACCUMULATED ERROR (CFS)	ERRCP (AC-FT)
1.500	0.000	3898.	3898.	0.	0.	0.
1.521	.021	4077.	4113.	-36.	-36.	-0.
1.542	.042	4256.	4302.	-45.	-81.	-0.
1.563	.063	4436.	4448.	-32.	-114.	-0.
1.583	.083	4615.	4615.	0.	-114.	-0.
1.604	.104	4791.	4748.	43.	-130.	-0.
1.625	.125	4967.	4847.	120.	-150.	-0.
1.646	.146	5143.	4976.	167.	-164.	-0.
1.667	.167	5319.	5079.	240.	-164.	-0.
1.688	.188	5495.	5173.	322.	-173.	-0.
1.709	.209	5671.	5260.	411.	-185.	-0.
1.729	.229	5847.	5342.	505.	-192.	-0.
1.750	.250	6023.	5419.	604.	-192.	-0.
1.771	.271	6199.	5492.	707.	-198.	-0.
1.792	.292	6375.	5560.	815.	-206.	-0.
1.813	.313	6551.	5625.	926.	-211.	-0.
1.833	.333	6727.	5686.	1033.	-211.	-0.
1.854	.354	6903.	5745.	1139.	-215.	-0.
1.875	.375	7079.	5800.	1249.	-220.	-0.
1.896	.396	7255.	5853.	1355.	-223.	-0.
1.917	.417	7431.	5904.	1457.	-223.	-0.
1.938	.438	7607.	5953.	1554.	-227.	-0.
1.958	.458	7783.	5992.	1651.	-231.	-0.
1.979	.479	7959.	6043.	1744.	-233.	-0.
2.000	.500	8135.	6086.	1839.	-233.	-0.
2.021	.521	8311.	6127.	1934.	-209.	-0.
2.042	.542	8487.	6168.	2029.	-158.	-0.
2.063	.563	8663.	6237.	2120.	-111.	-0.
2.083	.583	8839.	6350.	2209.	-111.	-0.
2.104	.604	9015.	6452.	2294.	-122.	-0.
2.125	.625	9191.	6546.	2375.	-136.	-0.
2.146	.646	9367.	6633.	2454.	-147.	-0.
2.167	.667	9543.	6714.	2530.	-147.	-0.
2.188	.688	9719.	6790.	2601.	-151.	-0.
2.208	.708	9895.	6862.	2673.	-157.	-0.
2.229	.729	10071.	6932.	2744.	-160.	-0.
2.250	.750	10247.	7000.	2815.	-160.	-0.
2.271	.771	10423.	7066.	2886.	-161.	-0.
2.292	.792	10599.	7132.	2957.	-162.	-0.
2.313	.813	10775.	7197.	3028.	-163.	-0.
2.333	.833	10951.	7262.	3099.	-163.	-0.
2.354	.854	11127.	7331.	3170.	-155.	-0.
2.375	.875	11303.	7412.	3241.	-151.	-0.
2.396	.896	11479.	7492.	3312.	-150.	-0.
2.417	.917	11655.	7570.	3383.	-150.	-0.
2.438	.937	11831.	7647.	3454.	-151.	-0.
2.458	.958	12007.	7724.	3525.	-152.	-0.
2.479	.979	12183.	7799.	3596.	-153.	-0.
2.500	1.000	12359.	7875.	3667.	-153.	-0.

•OVF•

STATION A0

TIME
(HRS)

(N) INTERPOLATED BREACH HYDROGRAPH
(R) COMPUTED BREACH HYDROGRAPH

(*) POINTS AT NORMAL TIME INTERVAL

TIME (HRS)	4000.	4400.	4800.	5200.	5600.	6000.	6400.	6800.	7200.	7600.	8000.
1.50 1.											
1.52 2.											
1.54 3.											
1.56 4.											
1.58 5.											
1.60 6.											
1.62 7.											
1.64 8.											
1.66 9.											
1.68 10.											
1.70 11.											
1.72 12.											
1.74 13.											
1.76 14.											
1.78 15.											
1.80 16.											
1.82 17.											
1.84 18.											
1.86 19.											
1.88 20.											
1.90 21.											
1.92 22.											
1.94 23.											
1.96 24.											
1.98 25.											
2.00 26.											
2.02 27.											
2.04 28.											
2.06 29.											
2.08 30.											
2.10 31.											
2.12 32.											
2.14 33.											
2.16 34.											
2.18 35.											
2.20 36.											
2.22 37.											
2.24 38.											
2.26 39.											
2.28 40.											
2.30 41.											
2.32 42.											
2.34 43.											
2.36 44.											
2.38 45.											
2.40 46.											
2.42 47.											
2.44 48.											
2.46 49.											
2.48 50.											
2.50 51.											

RRWID 50. DAN BREACH DATA
Z ELHP TFAIL WSEL FAFEL
50 100.70 1.00 202.00 230.00

STATION A. PLAN 2. RATIO 3

END-OF-PERIOD HYDROGRAPH ordinates

OUTFLOW		STORAGE	
0.	9.	0.	9.
44.	99.	0.	0.
5128.	5485.	1700.	3993.
6721.	7114.	5721.	4515.
8067.	9952.	7357.	6098.
24923.	22767.	14132.	7780.
11704.	11228.	17146.	26676.
8043.	7941.	9946.	13625.
3673.	3162.	7322.	12875.
1238.	1136.	2381.	8515.
780.	771.	932.	8979.
733.	729.	750.	1503.
		747.	792.
		709.	808.
		697.	738.
		681.	674.

STORAGE		TOTAL VOLUME	
0.	9.	0.	9.
73.	73.	74.	75.
85.	106.	157.	160.
164.	166.	169.	170.
172.	174.	176.	177.
178.	184.	234.	236.
229.	217.	197.	195.
190.	187.	180.	179.
178.	177.	167.	163.
156.	140.	130.	127.
123.	119.	115.	115.
115.	114.	114.	114.
114.	113.	112.	112.

STAGE		TOTAL VOLUME	
0.	9.	0.	9.
202.0	202.0	202.2	202.4
203.1	204.3	206.9	207.0
207.2	207.3	207.5	207.5
207.6	207.7	207.8	207.8
207.9	208.0	210.4	210.5
210.2	209.9	208.7	208.6
209.4	208.3	208.0	207.8
207.9	207.8	207.2	207.0
206.8	206.5	205.6	205.4
205.2	205.0	204.8	204.8
204.7	204.7	204.7	204.7
204.7	204.7	204.6	204.6

PEAK OUTFLOW IS 27410. AT TIME 4.04 HOURS

FEAK	6-HOUR	24-HOUR	72-HOUR	TOTAL VOLUME
27410.	9557.	5999.	5999.	719855.
776.	271.	170.	170.	20384.
	16.77	17.55	17.55	17.55
	426.06	445.72	445.72	445.72
	4730.	4858.	4858.	4858.
	5006.	6115.	6115.	6115.

CFS
CMS
INCHES
IN
AC-FIT
THOUS CUB F

STATION A10, PLAN 1, RTIO 3

[illegible][illegible][illegible]

	PEAK	6-HOUR	24-HOUR	72-HOUR	TOTAL	VOLUME
CMS	27458	2742	6106	6106	732691	
CMS	778		175	175	20748	
INCHES		17.10	17.86	17.86	17.86	
MM		434.29	453.67	453.67	453.67	
AC-FT		4831	5046	5046	5046	
THOUS CU FT		5958	6224	6224	6224	

MAXIMUM STORAGE = 19.

SI JUVAT UHIMIXVB.
209.2

SI JUVAT UHIMIXVB.
209.2

PEAK FLOW AND STORAGE (END OF PERIOD) SUMMARY FOR MULTIPLE PLAN-RATIO ECONOMIC COMPUTATIONS
 FLOWS IN CUBIC FEET PER SECOND (CUBIC METERS PER SECOND)
 AREA IN SQUARE MILES (SQUARE KILOMETERS)

RATIOS APPLIED TO FLOWS

RATIO 1
RATIO 2
RATIO 3

.20 .50 1.00

PLAN

AREA

STATION

OPERATION

HYDROGRAPH AT A1 (1.60 2300. 5750. 11500.)
 (4.14) (65.13) (162.82) (325.63)
 2 (2300. 5750. 11500.)
 (65.13) (162.82) (325.63)

ROUTED TO A2 (1.60 596. 2187. 7666.)
 (4.14) (16.87) (61.92) (217.07)
 2 (596. 2187. 7666.)
 (16.87) (61.92) (217.07)

ROUTED TO A3 (1.60 592. 2016. 7117.)
 (4.14) (16.76) (57.09) (201.52)
 2 (592. 2016. 7117.)
 (16.76) (57.09) (201.52)

HYDROGRAPH AT A4 (2.30 2860. 7149. 14299.)
 (5.96) (80.98) (202.45) (404.90)
 2 (2860. 7149. 14299.)
 (80.98) (202.45) (404.90)

2 COMBINED A5 (3.50 3246. 7886. 19644.)
 (10.10) (91.92) (223.30) (556.26)
 2 (3246. 7886. 19644.)
 (91.92) (223.30) (556.26)

ROUTED TO A6 (3.90 3077. 7677. 19357.)
 (10.10) (87.12) (217.40) (548.13)
 2 (3077. 7677. 19357.)
 (87.12) (217.40) (548.13)

HYDROGRAPH AT A7 (1.40 1741. 4352. 8704.)
 (3.63) (49.29) (123.23) (246.46)
 2 (1741. 4352. 8704.)
 (49.29) (123.23) (246.46)

2 COMBINED A8 (5.30 4704. 11891. 27374.)
 (13.73) (133.20) (336.72) (775.15)
 2 (4704. 11891. 27374.)
 (133.20) (336.72) (775.15)

ROUTED TO A9 (5.30 4814. 11297. 27429.)
 (13.73) (136.33) (340.91) (776.72)
 2 (4814. 11297. 27429.)
 (136.33) (340.91) (776.72)

ROUTED TO A10 (5.30 4607. 11786. 27410.)
 (13.73) (130.66) (333.74) (776.15)
 2 (4607. 11786. 27410.)
 (130.66) (333.74) (776.15)

ROUTED TO A11 (5.30 4607. 11350. 27488.)
 (13.73) (136.12) (320.79) (777.53)
 2 (4631. 11814. 27804.)
 (131.15) (334.53) (776.00)

SUMMARY OF DAM SAFETY ANALYSIS

PLAN 1

ELEVATION		INITIAL VALUE		SPILLWAY CFFST		TOP OF DAM	
STORAGE		412.00		412.00		416.06	
OUTFLOW		657.		657.		978.	
		0.		0.		750.	
RATIO OF PHR	MAXIMUM RESERVOIR W.S.FLEV.	MAXIMUM DEPTH OVER DAM	MAXIMUM STORAGE AC-FT	MAXIMUM OUTFLOW CFS	DURATION OVER TOP HOURS	TIME OF MAX OUTFLOW HOURS	TIME OF FAILURE HOURS
.20	414.21	0.00	817.	596.	0.00	4.83	0.00
.50	416.66	.60	1046.	2187.	2.67	4.33	0.00
1.00	418.28	2.22	1238.	7666.	4.17	4.08	0.00

PLAN 2

ELEVATION		INITIAL VALUE		SPILLWAY CREST		TOP OF DAM	
STORAGE		412.00		412.00		416.06	
OUTFLOW		657.		657.		978.	
		0.		0.		750.	
RATIO OF PHR	MAXIMUM RESERVOIR W.S.FLEV.	MAXIMUM DEPTH OVER DAM	MAXIMUM STORAGE AC-FT	MAXIMUM OUTFLOW CFS	DURATION OVER TOP HOURS	TIME OF MAX OUTFLOW HOURS	TIME OF FAILURE HOURS
.20	414.26	0.00	817.	596.	0.00	4.83	0.00
.50	416.66	.60	1046.	2187.	2.67	4.33	0.00
1.00	418.28	2.22	1238.	7666.	4.17	4.08	0.00

PLAN 1 STATION A3

RATIO	MAXIMUM FLOW CFS	MAXIMUM STAGE-FT	TIME HOURS
.20	592.	324.1	5.33
.50	2016.	326.7	4.67
1.00	7117.	330.8	4.25

PLAN 2 STATION A3

RATIO	MAXIMUM FLOW CFS	MAXIMUM STAGE-FT	TIME HOURS
.20	592.	324.1	5.33
.50	2016.	326.7	4.67
1.00	7117.	330.8	4.25

PLAN 1 STATION A6

RATIO	MAXIMUM FLOW CFS	MAXIMUM STAGE-FT	TIME HOURS
.20	3017.	227.8	4.00
.50	7777.	232.0	4.00

✓ M

1.00 19357. 238.4 4.00

PLAN 2 STATION AC

RATIO	MAXIMUM FLOW-CFS	MAXIMUM STAGE-FT	TIME HOURS
.20	3077.	227.8	4.00
.50	7677.	232.0	4.00
1.00	19357.	238.4	4.00

SUMMARY OF DAM SAFETY ANALYSIS

PLAN 1

FLEVISION	INITIAL VALUE	SPILLWAY CREST	TCP OF DAM
STORAGE	202.00	202.70	206.70
OUTFLOW	73.	77.	153.
	0.	0.	3179.

RATIO OF PMF	MAXIMUM RESERVOIR W.S.-ELEV	MAXIMUM DEPTH OVER DAM	MAXIMUM STORAGE AC-FT	MAXIMUM OUTFLOW CFS	DURATION OVER TOP HOURS	TIME OF MAX OUTFLOW HOURS	TIME OF FAILURE HOURS
.20	206.99	.29	150.	4040.	.92	4.13	3.92
.50	206.70	.06	154.	11297.	.31	4.17	2.58
1.00	209.12	2.42	205.	27429.	2.04	4.08	1.50

PLAN 2

FLEVISION	INITIAL VALUE	SPILLWAY CREST	TCP OF DAM
STORAGE	202.00	202.70	206.70
OUTFLOW	73.	77.	153.
	0.	0.	3179.

RATIO OF PMF	MAXIMUM RESERVOIR W.S.-ELEV	MAXIMUM DEPTH OVER DAM	MAXIMUM STORAGE AC-FT	MAXIMUM OUTFLOW CFS	DURATION OVER TOP HOURS	TIME OF MAX OUTFLOW HOURS	TIME OF FAILURE HOURS
.20	207.07	.37	161.	4607.	.67	4.08	0.00
.50	208.46	1.76	191.	11786.	3.92	4.08	0.00
1.00	210.52	3.02	236.	27410.	5.33	4.08	0.00

PLAN 1

RATIO	MAXIMUM FLOW,CFS	MAXIMUM STAGE,FT	TIME HOURS
.20	4007.	198.0	4.00
.50	11329.	202.1	4.17
1.00	27450.	209.2	4.08

PLAN 2

RATIO	MAXIMUM FLOW,CFS	MAXIMUM STAGE,FT	TIME HOURS
.20	4631.	197.9	4.08
.50	11714.	202.6	4.08
1.00	27406.	209.1	4.08

APPENDIX 4
ENGINEERING DATA

OLDHAM POND DAM

Report on Dam Inspection

OLDHAM POND

MARIETTA-HARMON CHEMICALS, INC.

MOLLY ANN BROOK, PASSAIC COUNTY

APPLICATION NO. 400

DAM NO. 23-13

On July 27, 1945, during a general inspection of the July 23 flood damage on Molly Ann Brook, the dam at Oldham Pond in North Haledon was inspected to note extent of damage and to obtain high water marks for estimating flood peak discharge.

During the inspection John Becker, contractor, was encountered while he was making a survey of necessary repairs for the owners, Marietta-Harmon Chemicals, Inc., 550 Belmont Avenue, Haledon, N. J. Mr. Becker was advised to notify the owners that application accompanied by drawings would be required because of the washout in the raceway and the damage to the left spillway. The extent of the necessary repairs was discussed with Mr. Becker and a copy of the dam booklet, together with application blanks, were left with him for the owners.

On August 2 another inspection of this dam was made at the request of Mr. Paul Miller of the Marietta-Harmon Chemicals, Inc. This inspection was made in company with Mr. Paul Miller, Water Supply Engineer, Amos Miller, Plant Engineer, H. T. Madden, Vice-President and Plant Supt. and Messrs. Becker and Brucher, contractors, to decide the extent of repairs to be made. This inspection disclosed that Oldham Pond is used as an industrial water supply by the owners to supplement a well located at the site of the plant. The supply is treated in several basins located on the overflow channel from the stilling pond at the end of the raceway. Mr. Paul Miller advised that the raceway would be abandoned and replaced by a 16-inch intake pipe line from the pond to the treatment basins.

On August 7 further conference was held in Trenton with Messrs. H. T. Madden and Amos Miller after preliminary examination had been completed to determine the height to which walls and the dam embankment should be raised.

On August 13 application accompanied by drawings showing the repairs agreed upon at the August 7 conference were filed.

On August 21 an inspection was made at the request of the applicant to approve the foundation for the cutoff wall at the inlet of the raceway. This inspection was made in company with Amos Miller. Since the inspection disclosed a tight dense clay, permission was granted to place concrete. At

that time, Mr. Miller was requested to amplify his drawings to include raising the retaining wall along Church Street. At the August 7 conference, this wall was thought to be the property of Passaic County.

The results of these inspections have been indicated in red pencil on copies of the Riparian Stream Surveys of Molly Ann Brook at Oldham Pond. It will be noted that while the main dam was slightly overlapped at points by the July 23 flood, no appreciable damage was suffered by any of the three spillways or the high part of the embankment. The control works at the raceway were washed out and a large hole was torn in the left dike of the raceway at the control gates, located some 250 feet below the intake. The washout in the raceway released flood waters, which damaged the old flood-relating basins of the Harmon company, located between the raceway and the main channel upstream of the plant. It is believed that heavy surface runoff from the west mountainside was as much responsible, if not more, for the washout in this raceway as the flood height in Oldham Pond. At the opposite end of the dam a retaining wall along the spillway overflow channel was overturned and washed out by the return of flood overflow from Oldham Pond into Church Street back into the natural channel of Molly Ann Brook. The flood level in Oldham Pond, as determined by a series of readings and later checked by Mr. Paul Miller, is at elevation 205.7. Mr. Miller was out during this storm with a force of men keeping debris off the wire fence across the right and center spillways. Mr. Miller reports that the heaviest part of the storm occurred between 11:00 p.m. and 1:30 a.m. on the 23rd, with a flood peak at 4:00 a.m. The pond level before the July 23 flood was 4 inches below the low point in the right spillway. The pond had been drawn down intentionally after the July 18-19 flood to provide additional safety against failure of the upper dams, which had been weakened by that flood. The high water for the July 18-19 flood was reported by Mr. Paul Miller at elevation 205.1.

The general flood problem on Molly Ann Brook was discussed with Messrs. Paul Miller and H. T. Madden. Mr. Miller offered to provide an observer for the operation of a stream gaging station at this dam. Mr. Madden stated that his company would be willing to cooperate in any general program and that they would be interested in having an encroachment line through their property between the dam and Church Street.

Seven copies of the stream surveys, giving the details of the dam and of the stream through the plant, were purchased by Mr. Madden.

Trenton, New Jersey
September 19, 1945

9/24/45

George R. Shanklin
George R. Shanklin
Asst. Chief Engineer

Report on Dam Inspection

OLDHAM POND

MARIETTA-HARMON CHEMICALS, INC.

MOLLY ANN BROOK, PASSAIC COUNTY


APPLICATION NO. 400

DAM NO. 23-13

On September 26, 1945 progress inspection was made of the repairs to Oldham Pond dam, approved for the Marietta-Harmon Chemicals, Inc. under the above application on September 6, 1945.

At the time of the inspection the pond level was 5 inches below the spillway crest of the right spillway, as measured at the right abutment. If the elevation of this crest is taken at elevation 202.35, the water level at the time of the inspection was at elevation 201.9.

The inspection disclosed that the outoff wall at the inlet of the raceway has been completed, that the addition to the old wall along the dam embankment from the raceway to the right end of the right spillway has been finished, that the pipe posts and fence have been removed from the right and center spillways and that the retaining wall along Church Street on the left side of the pond has been raised to proper grade. The top of wall at the raceway to the right of the right spillway and along Church Street was 4'7" above the water level or at elevation 206.6, as compared with the approved top of wall elevation 206.5. A temporary timber driveway bridge has been constructed across the spillway channel at the left spillway for construction purposes.


Trenton, New Jersey
October 1, 1945


George R. Shanklin
Asst. Chief Engineer

Report on Dam Inspection

OLDHAM POND

MOLLY ANN BROOK, PASSAIC COUNTY

APPLICATION NO. 400

DAM NO. 23-13

On February 25, 1946, in the company of Amos Miller, Plant Engineer, Marietta-Harmon Chemicals, Inc. and Carl R. Blanche, final inspection was made of the repairs to a dam known as Oldham Pond in North Haledon on Molly Ann Brook, approved September 6, 1945.

The inspection disclosed that the work had been completed in accordance with approved drawings and that the temporary bridge across the left spillway had been removed. The earth embankment back of the wall along the upstream face of the dam embankment has been raised to and slightly above the top of the masonry wall.

It is recommended that the dam be accepted.

At the time of the inspection the pond was full and frozen over. One photograph was taken.

Trenton, New Jersey
February 26, 1946

George R. Shanklin
George R. Shanklin
Asst. Chief Engineer

December 13, 1949

Mr. Amos Miller
Harmon Color Works, Inc.
550 Belmont Avenue
Haledon, New Jersey

Re: Dam Application No. 400


Dear Mr. Miller:

At your request an inspection of the dam at Oldham Pond, on Molly Ann Brook in North Haledon, was recently made by an engineering representative of this Division. As a result of this inspection we are pleased to report that generally speaking all structures pertaining to the dam are in excellent condition. However, there are two minor repairs which we recommend should be attended to at the earliest opportunity. These are as follows:

(1) A horizontal crack, several feet long, in the concrete was noticed just below the crest of the westerly spillway near its easterly end. This crack should be filled in with cement grout in order to prevent damage to the concrete by freezing.

(2) A considerable amount of stone masonry has fallen out of the retaining wall along the downstream face of the dam embankment, a short distance east of the westerly spillway. While this condition does not constitute a threat to the safety of the structure, it should be repaired.

Yours very truly,


H. T. Critchlow
Director and Chief Engineer

HCW:LMS

5

71aw

Report on Dam Inspection


OLDHAM POND

MOLLY ANN BROOK, PASSAIC COUNTY

APPLICATION NO. 400

On December 7, 1949 inspection was made of the subject dam at the request of Mr. Amos Miller, Chemist for the Harmon Color Works, Inc., owner of the dam.

The inspection disclosed that the pond level was approximately 15 feet below the spillway crest and that the structure is in excellent physical condition with two minor exceptions. (1) A horizontal crack was visible about 8 inches below the crest of the right or west spillway, a few feet from the left end of the spillway. This crack was 4 or 5 feet long. In order to prevent damage by freezing, this crack should be filled in with cement grout. (2) The stone masonry has fallen out of a short section of the downstream retaining wall of the dam, immediately east of the west spillway. This masonry should be replaced, although this condition does not constitute a serious threat to the safety of the structure.



Norman C. Wittwer
Principal Hydraulic Engineer

December 13, 1949

5

Report on Inspection

HARRISON COLOR WORKS DAM
(B. F. GOODRICH CHEMICAL COMPANY)
Dam 23-15, Application 400

On Thursday, March 10, 1955 the undersigned made an inspection of the dam and headworks owned by the B. F. Goodrich Chemical Company on Molly Ann Brook in the Borough of North Haledon, Passaic County. The inspection was made at the request of Mr. W. J. Pratt, Plant Engineer, to determine what steps were necessary to maintain the dam in first class condition. The inspection was made in company with Mr. August Fosch, of Mr. Pratt's staff.

The dam is in generally good condition. Except for a localized area of mortar spalling on the downstream face of the main spillway. The condition of the masonry was very good. Mr. Fosch pointed out that maintenance work was done each year on obvious damage, and that the spalling had resulted from the previous seasonal freezing. Black willows were growing immediately unstream of the paved crest of the large auxiliary spillway in the center of the dam. It was suggested that a chemical weed killer be used to destroy these, which would obstruct the spillway considerably if control was attempted by cutting back to the stump regularly.

Other than the above, there is nothing worthy of comment to the owners.

W. C.
Willard C. Edens
Senior Engineer

March 14, 1955

7/50

Report on Dam Inspection

Oldham Pond Dam
Dam Approval No. 400
Molly Ann Brook, Trib. Passaic River
Haledon, Passaic County
Owners: National Aniline Division
Allied Chemical Corporation

Following written request by Mr. William J. Pratt, Plant Engineer, inspection of the subject dam was made on September 2, 1960. Present during the inspection was Mr. August Porch of Mr. Pratt's staff. Upon completion of the inspection, conference thereon was held with Mr. Pratt, Mr. Harold T. Madden, Vice President, and another managerial representative. Conference was limited to generalities because report had to await study and evaluation of photographs and data collected during the inspection.

Dense underbrush and stands of trees on and below the dam largely limited inspection to observation from the dam crest. Hence the survey, the findings of which are outlined below, cannot be considered complete.

Westerly Section of Embankment

- (a) Crest width varies from 5 to 10 or more feet.
- (b) Entire downstream slope, averaging perhaps 1 on 1½, is covered with dense underbrush and trees up to 12 inches in diameter.
- (c) Embankment adjacent to west abutment of west spillway is badly eroded or abraded (see photograph D).
- (d) Some seepage, presumably originating from either the rupturing of the concrete face of the dam or the separation thereof from the west abutment of the west spillway, is occurring through the eroded section of the embankment. Seepage is expediently piped. No transportation of fines was observed. Embankment adjacent to pipe was damp but no flow was evident.
- (e) Balance of slope was not surveyed because of ground cover which included much poison ivy.

Westerly Spillway

- (a) No major deficiencies were observed.
- (b) Seepage is occurring from beneath 4-inch concrete cap cast on top of old spillway.
- (c) Concrete placed over old, spalled downstream face of spillway is flaking off.
- (d) Some seepage is occurring through lateral seams or construction joints in the west abutment. Source of water is probably the same as that noted above.

West Central Section of Embankment

- (a) Embankment adjacent to the east abutment of west spillway is eroded or abraded (see photograph B), but no seepage therefrom was evident.
- (b) Crest width varies from 15 feet at west spillway abutment to 6-8 feet at west abutment of central spillway.
- (c) Entire downstream slope, averaging perhaps 1 on 1 $\frac{1}{2}$, is covered with trees and dense underbrush (see photograph F).
- (d) Toe of slope was unobservable because of ground cover.

Central Spillway

- (a) No major structural defects noted.
- (b) No seepage from masonry downstream face evident.
- (c) No seepage from beneath spillway revealed by observation from crest.
- (d) Crest is obstructed by stands of weeds at upstream edge of spillway where it meets pond bottom (see photograph F & F).
- (e) A large double tree is located 3 or 4 feet downstream of toe of east abutment, but the effect of its roots on the spillway foundations is unknown.

East Central Section of Embankment

- (a) Crest width varies from a minimum of 10 feet.
- (b) Entire downstream slope, averaging perhaps 1 on 2, is covered with dense underbrush and with trees, singly and in groups, 12 to 14 inches in diameter. Several large trees are within 10 or 15 feet of the concrete upstream face of the embankment. More than a few are dead or dying.

Easterly Spillway

- (a) Spillway apron partially undermined and broken up.

Easterly Section of the Embankment

- (a) No deficiencies noted.

During the conference Mr. Pratt inquired about the effect on the dam of noticeable earth tremors caused by blasting at a quarry some two miles away. The writer stated his lack of competence in such matters and recommended that the company arrange for a geological survey by a consulting firm.

In order to forestall future requests from Mr. Pratt's company for free engineering, the writer tried during the conference to make the point that ~~this Division is~~ not authorized to perform ~~such a~~ "personal" services. Hence the referral to a consulting geologist.

State agencies are

James C. Riley
Principal Engineer, Hydraulic

Trenton, New Jersey
October 21, 1960

OLDHAM POND DAM
DAM APPLICATION NO. 400-A

This report is to describe the improvements made during May and June, 1962, at the Oldham Pond Dam to increase the stability of the structures as requested in the letter of October 31, 1960 from the Department of Conservation and Economic Development to Harmon Colors, National Aniline Division of Allied Chemical Corporation.

West Spillway - West Abutment

The first fill placed upstream of this abutment was by clamshell lowered through the water to the lake bottom and then opened. Every effort was made to get the earth under the foundation of the longitudinal wall. Two men using wood poles rammed the earth into the space. This was continued until the earth fill was well above the top of the foundation when a very large quantity of fill was placed to make an earth blanket up to 5 to 6 feet deep in front of the abutment and longitudinal wall.

This did not stop the small seepage, but later on dropping the lake about 5 inches, it was noticed seepage did cease. Additional fill was placed along the side of the abutment which has apparently stopped all seepage with water passing over the spillway.

It thus appears that seepage was not passing under the foundation

of the longitudinal wall as originally believed, but through the masonry abutment wall a few inches below spillway level. The extent and depth of fill as placed is indicated on the as built drawing.

In making excavations in the earth fill adjacent to the abutment, it was found that the longitudinal wall was much thicker than had been anticipated and a cutoff wall existed that extended into the fill about four feet. The location of this wall is indicated.

A rock toe was constructed in the eroded section adjacent to the abutment by starting about 11 feet downstream from the end of the abutment wall and carried up the slope as shown on the drawing. A bank run sand and gravel was laid adjacent to the earth fill over which a heavier stone was placed to make an effective filter to dissipate any seepage should it occur and to prevent erosion in back of the wall.

The upstream impervious fill section was then placed from the rock toe to the upstream wall with the top of the fill slightly above the top of the abutment wall and graded toward the upstream side for drainage to pass to the lake.

West Spillway - East Abutment

Seepage conditions were investigated at the east abutment by releasing fluorescein at the lake bottom about 6 feet upstream from the wall to determine where the seepage might be leaving the lake. As a result of this test

an extensive earth blanket about 4 to 5 feet thick requiring hundreds of yards of fill was placed over the lake bottom and resulted in complete stoppage of the seepage that had been emerging for years at the downstream end of the abutment wall.

Two heavy rock toes with sand and gravel filter were also placed downstream of the downstream longitudinal wall to dissipate any energy from seepage that might occur in the future.

The eroded gully adjacent to the abutment wall was brought up to the earth dam level by placing rock on the slope at the downstream section of the gully and good fill in the impervious zone.

A substantial quantity of excess material was stored on the top of the earth dam for use in maintaining the earth surface should it become necessary before the new fill becomes stabilized.

Trees

All the trees on the earth dam and within 20 feet downstream of the downstream toe of the slope have been cut down to within 6 inches of the ground.

The surface of the slope is covered with poison ivy and a heavy undergrowth which makes a heavy cover at this time.

In the fall it is proposed to go over the slopes, remove any undesirable brush and if existing vines are not sufficient to prevent erosion,

measures will be initiated to protect the downstream slope from erosion.

The weeds at the upstream edge of the center spillway have been removed to increase the spillway discharge capacity.

East Spillway

Drawing H-2 has been revised to show the as built conditions of the east spillway. The construction was substantially the same as originally submitted. It was possible to undercut the abutment walls slightly. The reinforced concrete slab was constructed with new walls extending down as indicated along both existing abutment walls and across the breast of the dam just downstream from the existing masonry section at the upstream weir.

The slab itself was poured in two sections. The fill under the longitudinal joint was protected from the erosion by a concrete lip extending down under the upstream concrete slab. The drainage provisions are shown.

An additional reinforced concrete slab was placed downstream of the main slab and bonded into the existing slab.

The broken up concrete from the old slab was placed in the channel downstream of the paved area.

Earth Embankment at the West End

The area between the west spillway and the west end of the earth dam was used for dumping and storing considerable earth fill which was

later used on the dam. A ramp down from top of dam was made to transport the earth fill to the stilling basin area where it was lifted by crane for placing on the east side of the spillway. Much of this hauled fill still remains and has resulted in a very substantial increase in the earth dam section at the west end. The top width of the embankment varies from 22 feet wide at the abutment to 31 feet wide at a distance of 50 feet from the west abutment which width is maintained to the right end. The earth is graded to drain to the lake.

Photographs

In accordance with the request of the representatives of the Department of Conservation and Economic Development, photographs were taken during the period of reconstruction and are enclosed.

As Built Drawings

Drawings H-1 and H-2 have been revised to show the repairs as made at the East and West spillways with important elevations of the structures.

Certification

This is to certify that modifications to the existing structures have been made in accordance with the as built drawings as submitted with this report.


N. C. Courtney, License No. N.J. 3504

July 26, 1962

MEMORANDUM

DATE September 17, 1963

TO: Robert L. Hardman

FROM: Raymond A. Webster

SUBJECT: Dam Inspection: Harmon Color Works, Oldham Pond
Dam Application No. 400-B

Inspection was made of the foundation prepared for the apron and new face of the west spillway for subject dam on September 10, 1963.

Mr. N. C. Courtney, Consulting Engineer for the applicant was present.


The foundation is being prepared in three sections: west, center, and east. Mr. Courtney gave me photographs of the west section, exposed September 4, 1963. These and photos taken on September 10th attached hereto.

East section was excavated to rock and hard pan, which is satisfactory material for this foundation. I gave verbal approval to proceed.

RAW:am

Encs.


Raymond A. Webster




1-A CO. Contact 05-1-27
Well 111. T. 101. 5F
Griffin down and up stream
Well 111.

2

Approximate outline of fill placed to blanket
and upstream of abutment walls



3



ITEM 202.6

1.0' Earth Fill

REVISIONS	
1	1.1
2	2.1

HARMON COLORS
NATIONAL ANILINE DIVISION
NORTH HAVEN, N.J.

1-Area back of 20 ft. ft.
wall to top of
corin dam and up front
wall.

1-Top of wall
2-Top of wall to top of
3-Top of wall to top of
4-Top of wall to top of
5-Top of wall to top of

B

SECTION CC

4

PLAN

592

202.90 EXIST NG SPURWAY CLOS


202.77

LAKE BOTTOM

202

ELEVATION





REVISIONS	HARMON COLORS		
	NATIONAL ANILINE DIVISION		
	NORTH HALEDON, N.J.		
	OLDHAM POND DAM		
	REPAIRS		
	WEST SPILLWAY		
	CONSULTING ENGINEERS		
	JUSTIN & COURTNEY		
	PHILADELPHIA, U. S. A.		
	DRAWN BY	CHECKED BY	APPROVED
UNION	A. C.	J. C. Courtney	MAY 1940
TRACED BY	SCALE	PLAN NO.	
J. F. V.	1" = 5'-0"	H1	

6

1

352

Replaces chute spillway
option as shown in
section AA



Construct option as shown in
masonry wall is
without footing

SECTION BB

6" Diameter Concrete
porous drain pipe connecting
gravel drains

B

B

A

A

382

2

Reconstructed

84'-10"

35'-11"

2 Spacers @ 20'-0" = 24'-0"

B

EL 207.00

EL 203.05

5 Bars 1" @ 60" w/c

Concrete

24" Concrete

6" Concrete

EL 201.75

EL 200.45

EL 197.60

(Boulders & Gravel)
EL 194.95

Concrete parapet


24" Concrete

stone masonry

3

31

Stylized letter 'S' logo




Decorative border
with floral motif

Decorative border
with floral motif

Decorative border



Decorative border
with floral motif

Decorative border




FLOW

4



Boulders Gravel
19495




NO.	REVISIONS	HARMON COLORS		
1		NATIONAL ANILINE DIVISION		
2		NORTH SHALEDON, N.J.		
3		OLDHAM POND DAM		
4		PROPOSED REPAIRS		
5		EAST SPILLWAY		
6		CONSULTING ENGINEERS		
7		DUSTIN & COURTNEY PHILADELPHIA, U.S.A.		
8		DESIGNED BY	CHECKED BY	APPROVED
9		WJK	BT	
10		TRACED BY	SCALE	DATE
11			1" = 50'	MAY 1961
12				PLAN NO.
13				H2


6

OLDMAN RIVER
1973-1975




2



A-A



ELEVATION DAM 1:50



VAL 56 5.85
KC
PLANS
STATION
27
0

RIGHT BANK


N. 1/2 SECTION 1

1100' UPSTREAM

N. 1/2 SECTION 2

ELEVATION DAM A-A

HOR. & VERT. 1" = 10'



SECTION DAM A-A


HOR. & VERT. 1" = 10'

OLDHAM POND DAM


SECTION 1

SECTION 2

4



ELEVATION DAM 833
HOR. & VERT. 5' 10"




ELEVATION OF WEIR AT
HOR. & VERT. 5' 10"

ELEVATION DAM 833
HOR. & VERT. 5' 10"

ELEVATION
HOR. & VERT.

DAM C-C
10'



PLAN
155

ELEVATION OF WEIR AT TAIL RACE
HIGH WATER 155.0




ELEVATION OF WEIR AT TAIL RACE
HIGH WATER 155.0

PA NEW JERSEY

PLAN & STREAM SURVEY

DATE	1915
BY	J. H. HARRIS
FOR	NEW JERSEY
PROJECT	PA NEW JERSEY
LOCATION	PA NEW JERSEY
SCALE	1" = 100'
REMARKS	PA NEW JERSEY



Remove all cement facing now on the vertical faces of the existing weir and abutments within the contact area of the new spillway. Any material removed from masonry joints shall not be replaced. Existing pipes in joints shall be removed to permit free draining. Seepage through the masonry must be conveyed away to prevent damage to freshly poured concrete during construction.

Boring #2

18'-0"

10'-0"

Construction joints 26'-0"

To apron reinforcing
First stage construction - entire length of apron

210

205

200

195

Elevation

EI 20715

E Spillway

Cross E. 20

Apron E18810

2

6'-0"

E Spillway

24

Spillway structure to be removed

20'

Drainage structure

Bar 1st

Present rock toe

The bank protection into present rock toe

Construction joints 20'-0"

apron reinforcing

1'-0"

construction -
apron 11 apron

25'-0"

Bar 1 apron reinforcing

8'-0"

Section 1 apron construction
apron reinforcing 25'-0"

10'-0"

PLAN


A

E Spillway

E 21'-0"

Crest E 20'-0"

3



Top of rock and concrete

Top of rock and concrete

Top of rock (East side)

Bottom of rock (East side)

Bottom of rock (West side)

35% Rock recovery in 114' in boring of


SECTION A-A

Submitted by: J. A. 12
 JUL 18 1963
 Dept. of the Interior
 Division of
 Water Policy and Supply
 G. P. Blankin

REVISIONS

HARMON COLORS
 NATIONAL ANILINE DIVISION
 NORTH WALDEN, N.J.

4.



4


Construction joint 22' 0"
 Reinforcing
 Apron
 1'-0"
 3'-0"

Bottom done
 3'-0"
 Section 1 of concrete to
 existing foundation of 3' x 1'

12'-0"

PLAN
 A

During each stage of construction only the existing concrete slab that lies within the limits to be removed is to be removed. Excavated material will be dumped at the stream from stream.




5

the bank protection into
present form too

At each stage of construction by the section of
the concrete abutment lies within the stage area
to remain. Estimated material will be placed on
banks of the stream. See stream at the spillway.

Spoil excavated from stream bed
in tanks



Soil grave

— ۱۷۰ —

357. Rock red
"14' in bank

35

Received 5.11.18
 JUL 18 1963
 Dept. of Health & Social Sec
 Division of
 Water Policy and Supply
 L.A. Chalkley

REVISIONS	HARMON COLORS NATIONAL ANILINE DIVISION NORTH HAVEN, N.J.		
	CLDHAM POND DAM PROPOSED WEST CONCRETE SPILLWAY GENERAL PLAN		
	CONSULTING ENGINEERS JUSTIN & COURTNEY PHILADELPHIA, U. S. A.		
	DRAWN BY J.S.W.	CHECKED BY J.S.W.	APPROVED [Signature] SCALE 1" = 5'-0"
	TRACED BY J.S.W.		DATE MAY 1963 PLAN NO. H-11-1

6

APPENDIX 5

REFERENCES

OLDHAM POND DAM

APPENDIX 5

REFERENCES

OLDHAM POND DAM

1. Chow, Ven Te, Open Channel Hydraulics, McGraw Hill Book Company, New York, 1959.
2. King, H.W and E.F. Brater, Handbook of Hydraulics, McGraw-Hill Book Company, New York Fifth Edition 1963.
3. Lewis, J.V. and H.B. Kummel (1910-1912) Geologic Map of New Jersey, revised by H.B. Kummel, 1931, and by M.E. Johnson, 1950. New Jersey Department of Conservation and Economic Development Atlas, Sheet 40.
4. Schwab, G.O., R.K. Frevert, T.W. Edmister, and K.K. Barnes, Soil and Water Conservation Engineering, The Ferguson Foundation Agricultural Engineering Series, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, 1966, 683 pp.
5. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Hydrologic Engineering Center, Flood Hydrograph Package (HEC-1) for Dam Safety Inspections Users Manual, Davis, California, September 1978.
6. United States Department of Interior, Bureau of Reclamation, Design of Small Dams, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, 1977, 816 pp.
7. U.S. Department of Interior, Geological Survey, 7.5-Minute Series (topographic) maps, scale 1:24000, Contour Interval 10 feet: Paterson, N.J., (1954) (photo-revised 1970).
8. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service, "Urban Hydrology for Small Watersheds," Technical Release No. 55, Washington, 1975.
9. U.S. Department of Commerce, Weather Bureau, "Seasonal Variation of the Probable Maximum Precipitation East of the 105th Meridian for Areas from 10 to 1000 Square miles and Durations of 6, 12, 24, and 48 Hours", Hydrometeorological Report No. 33, Washington, 1977, 816 pp.